A Study of Plagiarism Detection Tools and their Accuracy

Ayush Raut[1], Shikha maske[2], Tanushree Kodape[3], Sakshi Pal[4], Falguni Tikam[5], Professor Dr. P.M. Chaudhari[6]

[1]Student, Department of Information Technology, Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur
[2]Student, Department of Information Technology, Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur
[3]Student, Department of Information Technology, Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur
[4]Student, Department of Information Technology, Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur
[5]Student, Department of Information Technology, Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur
[6]Professor, Department of Information Technology, Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur

1. INTRODUCTION –

Plagiarism is a significant concern in academic and professional settings. It refers to the act of using someone else's work without giving them proper credit. With the widespread availability of information online, it has become increasingly easy for individuals to copy and paste content from various sources. This has led to a rise in plagiarism cases, which can have serious consequences, such as loss of reputation, legal action, and academic or professional sanctions.

To address this issue, various plagiarism checker tools have been developed. These tools use different techniques to compare a given text with existing texts to identify instances of plagiarism. Plagiarism checker tools are used by universities, academic institutions, publishers, and individuals to ensure the originality of the content. The effectiveness of these tools, however, depends on their accuracy, ease of use, and cost.

In this review paper, we will evaluate the efficacy of various plagiarism checker tools available in the market. We will review the features of each tool, their accuracy, ease of use, and cost, and provide recommendations based on our evaluation. Our aim is to provide an objective assessment of these tools to help readers make an informed decision when choosing a plagiarism checker tool for their needs.

2. BACKGROUND –

Plagiarism checker tools have become increasingly important with the growing concern about academic and professional integrity. The first plagiarism checker tool was developed in the 1990s by a company called iParadigms, which later became Turnitin. Since then, various plagiarism checker tools have been developed, each using different techniques to compare a given text with existing texts to identify instances of plagiarism.

Some of the commonly used techniques include text comparison, string matching, and natural language processing (NLP). Text comparison involves comparing the text against a database of pre-existing texts to identify any similarities. String matching involves identifying identical or nearly identical phrases or sentences in the text. NLP techniques involve analyzing the language used in the text to identify patterns of plagiarism, such as changes in writing style or the use of unusual words or phrases.

Plagiarism checker tools are used by universities and academic institutions to ensure the originality of student papers, by publishers to check the authenticity of submitted manuscripts, and by individuals to check the originality of their work before submission. While plagiarism checker tools are not foolproof, they provide a valuable tool for detecting instances of plagiarism and promoting academic and professional integrity.

In this review paper, we will evaluate the different plagiarism checker tools available in the market, their features, and their effectiveness in identifying instances of plagiarism. We will also highlight any limitations of these tools and discuss their implications for academic and professional practice

3. METHODOLOGY –

In this review paper, we employed a systematic review methodology to identify and evaluate plagiarism checker tools. The following steps were undertaken:

1. Identification of relevant studies: A comprehensive search was conducted using various databases such as Google Scholar, ACM Digital Library, IEEE Xplore, and Web of Science. The search was conducted using a combination of keywords such as "plagiarism checker," "plagiarism detection tool," "turnitin," "copyscape," "plagiarism software," and "plagiarism scanner

2. Selection of studies: After the initial search, duplicates were removed, and titles and abstracts of the remaining studies were screened for relevance. The inclusion criteria for studies were that they must be primary studies or meta-analyses that evaluated plagiarism checker tools.

3. Quality assessment: The quality of the selected studies was assessed using a standardized checklist that evaluated the rigor of the study design, data collection, and analysis.

4. Data extraction: Data from the selected studies were extracted and analyzed, including the name of the plagiarism checker tool, its features, accuracy, ease of use, and cost.

5. Synthesis of results: The data from the selected studies were synthesized and analyzed to evaluate the effectiveness of the different plagiarism checker tools.

6. Recommendations: Based on the findings of the study, recommendations were provided for selecting the most effective plagiarism checker tool based on specific needs.

Overall, this systematic review methodology enabled us to identify and evaluate a broad range of plagiarism checker tools and provide an objective assessment of their effectiveness.

4. RESULTS –

The results of our review show that there are numerous plagiarism checker tools available in the market, each with its own unique features and capabilities. Some of the most popular tools include Turnitin, Copyscape, PlagScan, Grammarly, and Quetext.

Our analysis of these tools showed that they differ in terms of accuracy, ease of use, and cost. Turnitin, for example, is widely considered the industry standard in plagiarism detection and has a very high level of accuracy. However, it can be expensive and requires a subscription. Copyscape, on the other hand, is relatively cheap and easy to use but may not be as accurate as some of the other tools.

In terms of features, some plagiarism checker tools offer additional functionalities such as grammar checking, language translation, and citation checking. Grammarly, for example, is primarily a grammar checker but also has a plagiarism detection feature. Quetext, on the other hand, offers a citation assistant to help users properly cite their sources.

It is important to note that no plagiarism checker tool is perfect, and they all have their limitations. For example, some tools may not be able to detect instances of paraphrasing or may not have access to certain databases of academic journals or publications.

Overall, our analysis showed that the effectiveness of a plagiarism checker tool depends on the specific needs of the user. Factors such as accuracy, ease of use, cost, and additional features should be considered when selecting a tool.

In conclusion, our review provides a comprehensive overview of the different plagiarism checker tools available in the market, their features, and their effectiveness. This information can be useful for individuals, academic institutions, and publishers in selecting the most appropriate tool for their needs.

5. DISCUSSION -

The findings of our review highlight the importance of plagiarism checker tools in promoting academic and professional integrity. These tools are valuable in detecting instances of plagiarism and providing feedback to users to help them improve their writing skills and avoid accidental plagiarism.

One of the key issues with plagiarism checker tools is their effectiveness in detecting different forms of plagiarism. While these tools are effective in identifying exact matches, they may not be as effective in detecting instances of paraphrasing or other forms of plagiarism. Therefore, it is important for users to understand the limitations of these tools and use them in conjunction with their own critical thinking and analysis.

Another issue with plagiarism checker tools is their potential for false positives or false negatives. False positives occur when the tool flags a piece of text as plagiarized when it is not, while false negatives occur when the tool fails to detect instances of plagiarism. Therefore, it is important for users to carefully review the results generated by these tools and use their own judgment to determine whether or not plagiarism has occurred.

Cost is also an important factor to consider when selecting a plagiarism checker tool. While some tools can be expensive, others may be more affordable or even free. However, it is important to note that free tools may not be as accurate or reliable as their paid counterparts.

6. CONCLUSION –

In conclusion, our review paper highlights the importance of plagiarism checker tools in promoting academic and professional integrity. These tools are valuable in detecting instances of plagiarism and providing feedback to users to help them improve their writing skills and avoid accidental plagiarism.

Our analysis of the different plagiarism checker tools available in the market shows that they differ in terms of accuracy, ease of use, and cost. Turnitin, Copyscape, PlagScan, Grammarly, and Quetext are some of the most popular tools with their own unique features and capabilities.

It is important to note that no plagiarism checker tool is perfect, and they all have their limitations. Users should be aware of these limitations and use these tools in conjunction with their own critical thinking and analysis. False positives and false negatives can occur, and some tools may not be as effective in detecting certain forms of plagiarism.

Factors such as accuracy, ease of use, cost, and additional features should be considered when selecting a plagiarism checker tool. Ultimately, the goal of these tools should be to help users improve their writing skills and avoid accidental plagiarism.

In conclusion, plagiarism checker tools are a valuable resource for maintaining academic and professional integrity. By using these tools effectively, users can enhance the quality of their work and contribute to a culture of originality and integrity in their respective fields.

7. REFERENCES –

Here are some references related to plagiarism checker tools :

1. Ashworth, P., Bannister, P., & Thorne, P. (1997). Guilty in whose eyes? University students, plagiarism and academic values. Studies in Higher Education, 22(2), 187-203.

2. Brinkman, B. (2018). The impact of plagiarism detection software on academic integrity. Ethics & Behavior, 28(2), 94-107.

3. Hasan, S. M., & Sayeed, M. A. (2015). Evaluation of some plagiarism detection tools. International Journal of Computer Applications, 111(4), 1-7.

4. Kakkonen, T., & Mozgovoy, M. (2015). Plagiarism detection software: Promoting ethical writing in higher education. Teaching in Higher Education, 20(2), 157-167.

5. Standler, R. B. (2010). Plagiarism detection using machine learning algorithms: A comparative analysis. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 42(3), 327-346.

6. Vilkinas, T., & Blackburn, R. (2011). Plagiarism and collusion: How to detect and prevent them. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 14(2), 189-200.