WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Fabrication, Characterization and Reliability Analysis of NFRCs for Gas Flow Pipelines

B. Ajay Chandra Mouli, *A. Mahesh, *B. Suresh, *D. Krishna Sai, *G. Prasanth Kumar, *A. Rakesh, *Dr. M. Srinivasa Rao

*GMR Institute of Technology
GMRIT, Rajam, Vizianagaram and 532127

A B S T R A C T

The fabrication process involves the synthesis of NFRCs through a combination of advanced nanomaterials, polymers, and functionalization techniques. These composite materials are engineered to exhibit exceptional mechanical strength, corrosion resistance, and gas barrier properties, making them ideal candidates for pipeline applications. Reliability analysis focuses on assessing the long-term performance and durability of NFRCs within gas flow pipelines. This includes evaluating the material's resistance to extreme temperature fluctuations, pressure variations, chemical exposure, and mechanical stress. Finite element analysis (FEA) and accelerated aging tests are conducted to predict the NFRCs' service life and performance under real-world conditions. The results of this study provide valuable insights into the suitability of NFRCs as advanced materials for gas flow pipelines. By enhancing the structural integrity and reliability of these pipelines, NFRCs can contribute to increased safety, reduced maintenance costs, and improved efficiency in the transportation of natural gas. This research lays the foundation for the adoption of NFRCs in critical infrastructure applications and offers a pathway towards sustainable and resilient gas transport systems. The outcomes of this research have significant implications for the future of gas flow pipelines. By harnessing the unique properties of NFRCs, such as improved durability and gas barrier performance, pipelines can be made more resilient and reliable. This, in turn, can lead to increased safety, reduced maintenance costs, and enhanced efficiency in the transportation of natural gas. As the demand for sustainable and secure energy infrastructure continues to grow, the adoption of NFRCs represents a promising pathway towards achieving these goals and ensuring the integrity of gas transport systems for years to come.

Keywords: Nanomaterials, polymers.

1. Introduction:

Reliability analysis forms the core of this research effort. Evaluating how NFRCs perform under a variety of conditions over extended periods within gas flow pipelines is critical. This includes assessing their resistance to extreme temperature fluctuations, exposure to corrosive gases, high-pressure environments, and mechanical stressors encountered during transportation. Advanced modeling techniques such as finite element analysis (FEA) are utilized to predict potential failure points and optimize the design of NFRCs. Accelerated aging tests simulate long-term exposure, aiding in estimating the service life and performance of NFRCs in practical pipeline applications. NFRCs represent a class of advanced materials engineered at the nanoscale, offering a combination of unique properties that make them particularly promising for pipeline applications. These materials are designed through a carefully controlled fabrication process that incorporates nanomaterials into polymer matrices, often with functionalization techniques that enhance their performance. The result is a class of materials with exceptional mechanical strength, resistance to corrosion from gas exposure, and the ability to act as highly effective gas barriers. This research delves into the development, characterization, and reliability analysis of NFRCs with the explicit goal of improving gas flow pipelines. The implications of this research are profound for the future of gas flow pipelines. By harnessing the unique properties of NFRCs, such as enhanced durability and gas barrier performance, the pipelines can be fortified, rendering them more reliable and resilient. The potential outcomes include heightened safety levels, reduced maintenance expenditures, and improved operational efficiency in the transportation of natural gas. As the world pursues sustainable and dependable energy infrastructure, the adoption of NFRCs represents a promising avenue towards achieving these objectives and ensuring the integrity of gas transport systems for generations to come.

2. Materials

The materials used in the experiment are pineapple, jute, hemp, coir, flax, Epoxy Resin LY556, Hardener HY951, Silicone Spray, Sheet metal, Breaker, Graduated Cylinder.

Pineapple

Jute

Hemp

Flax

Coir

Epoxy Resin Ly556

Hardener Hy951

Silicone Spray

Sheet Metal

The materials used in your experiment. Inclusion of epoxy resin, hardener, and silicone spray alongside natural fibers like pineapple, jute, hemp, coir, and flax suggests a composite material approach in your research. These materials play crucial roles in the fabrication, reinforcement, and protection of the composite. Here's an overview of how each component contributes:

Thank you for providing the additional information about the materials used in your experiment. Inclusion of epoxy resin, hardener, and silicone spray alongside natural fibers like pineapple, jute, hemp, coir, and flax suggests a composite material approach in your research. These materials play crucial roles in the fabrication, reinforcement, and protection of the composite. Here's an overview of how each component contributes:

- **Epoxy Resin (LY556)**: Epoxy resin is a versatile polymer widely used in composite material fabrication. It acts as the matrix or binder that holds the natural fibers together. Epoxy resin provides mechanical strength, adhesion, and durability to the composite, making it suitable for applications requiring structural integrity.
- **Hardener (HY951)**: The hardener is used in combination with epoxy resin to initiate the curing process. This chemical reaction between the epoxy resin and hardener leads to the formation of a strong, rigid, and thermosetting material. Proper control of the resin-to-hardener ratio and curing conditions is essential to achieve the desired properties of the composite.
- **Silicone Spray**: Silicone spray is often used as a release agent or mold release agent during the composite fabrication process. It prevents the epoxy resin from sticking to the mold or any surfaces it comes into contact with, facilitating the demolding process and ensuring a smooth finish.
- **Pineapple, Jute, Hemp, Coir, Flax**: These natural fibers are used as reinforcements within the composite. They can enhance the composite's mechanical properties, such as tensile strength, flexibility, and impact resistance. Each type of fiber may contribute unique properties to the composite, depending on its characteristics.
- In your research, the fabrication process likely involves impregnating these natural fibers with the epoxy resin/hardener mixture. The fibers are then layered or arranged within a mold, and the composite is cured to create a strong and durable material with a combination of natural and synthetic components. The silicone spray is used to ensure easy removal of the composite from the mold.

- Characterization and reliability analysis of the resulting composite material will be essential to assess its suitability for gas flow pipeline applications. Techniques such as mechanical testing, microscopy, and chemical analysis can provide insights into the composite's structural integrity, resistance to corrosion, gas permeability, and overall performance under various conditions.
- This interdisciplinary approach, combining natural fibers with synthetic resins, can lead to the development of composite materials that offer improved strength, durability, and environmental sustainability, making them valuable for a wide range of applications, including gas flow pipelines.

4. Experimentation:

Natural Fiber combing

Sheet metal

Spraying Silicone Spray

Natural fibres cutting in

Taking 100ml of resin
in a Breaker and 10ml
of hardner.

pouring the 100ml resin in a bottle and thoroughly
stirring with 10ml of Hardener.

Placing first layer of natural fiber
In tray

pouring epoxy resin mixture
on the 1^{st} layer

the completely filled tray layer
by layer

Final piece

3.1: Compressive Stress

Natural fibres first we cutting the specimens and along and across compress stress according to the dimensions.

Natural fibers Compress stress along the compress stress along the compress stress

Final output pieces

3.2: Tensile stress

Natural fibres first we cutting the specimens are Tensile stress according to the dark bone shape required.

Final outputs

3.3: Charpy Impact test

Natural fibres first we cutting the specimens are Charpy impact test according to the shape required.

The inclusion of compression stress, Charpy impact testing, and tensile stress testing in your experimentation indicates a comprehensive approach to characterizing the mechanical properties and performance of the composite materials used in your gas flow pipeline research. Here's a breakdown of these three testing methods and their significance in your study:

- **Compression Stress Testing**:
- **Purpose**: Compression stress testing, also known as compressive strength testing, assesses a material's ability to withstand axial loads pushing it together.
- **Significance**: In the context of gas flow pipelines, compression stress testing can provide insights into how well the composite material resists compressive forces, which may be encountered during installation, operation, or external pressures on the pipeline.
- **Results**: The results can help determine the material's compressive strength, deformation behavior under load, and its ability to maintain structural integrity in conditions where compression forces are prevalent.
- **Charpy Impact Test**:
- **Purpose**: The Charpy impact test evaluates a material's resistance to sudden, high-energy impact or shock loads.
- **Significance**: In gas flow pipelines, materials may face impact from various sources, such as accidental external forces or sudden changes in pressure. The Charpy impact test helps assess the material's toughness and ability to withstand these impacts without catastrophic failure.
- **Results**: Results from Charpy impact testing can provide information on the material's impact energy absorption, fracture behavior, and resistance to brittle fracture.
- **Tensile Stress Testing**:
- **Purpose**: Tensile stress testing measures a material's response to stretching or pulling forces.
- **Significance**: In gas flow pipelines, materials need to withstand tensile forces generated due to internal pressure, thermal expansion, or other factors. Tensile stress testing helps determine the material's tensile strength, ductility, and elongation properties.
- **Results**: The results of tensile stress testing can provide critical data on the material's ability to resist deformation and rupture under tensile loads.

By conducting these mechanical tests, you will be able to comprehensively evaluate the performance and structural integrity of the composite materials, which include natural fibers and epoxy resin, under various loading conditions relevant to gas flow pipelines. The data obtained from these tests will be essential in assessing whether the composite materials meet the required mechanical specifications and whether they can withstand the demanding conditions they may encounter during pipeline operation.

These tests, when combined with other characterization techniques mentioned earlier, such as microscopy and chemical analysis, will provide a well-rounded understanding of the composite's suitability for gas flow pipeline applications, ensuring that they meet safety and performance standards.

4. Results:

4.1: Compressive Strength

Table: compressive strength results:

S. No	**Specimen**	**Load at Breakage (KN)**		**Area (cm2)**		**Strength (N/mm2)**	
		Along	**Across**	**Along**	**Across**	**Along**	**Across**
1.	Hemp	25.005	86.970	7.5	25	33.34	34.788
2.	Jute	34.42	74.515	6.79	23.52	50.69	31.681
3.	Flax	29.715	47.645	6.37	24.255	46.64	19.647
4.	Pineapple	32.680	102.455	7.2	23.28	45.38	47.009
5.	Coir	5.865	20.290	7.42	24.255	7.90	8.36
6.	Hemp-jute-flax	29.590	42.105	7.2	23.28	41.09	18.086
7.	Jute-flax-coir	28.770	53.025	7.42	23.512	38.77	22.55
8.	Flax-coir-pine apple	24.795	103.190	6.72	23.76	36.89	43.43
9.	Coir-pine apple-hemp	28.135	87.230	6.5	25	43.29	34.892
10.	Pineapple-hemp-jute	37.090	96.180	6.79	24.0075	54.62	40.075
11.	All Uni layers	90.205	102.125	6.8	22.5625	132.65	45.26
12.	All Bilayer	56.150	103.690	6.7	22.43	83.80	46.22

4.2: Tensile Strength

Table: Tensile test result

S. No	**Specimen**	**Load at break (KN)**	**Area (mm2)**	**Strength (N/mm2)**
1.	**Hemp**	**10.7**	**200**	**53.565**
2.	**Jute**	**5.8**	**234**	**25.128**
3.	**Flax**	**4.9**	**180**	**27.22**
4.	**Pineapple**	**5.8**	**285**	**20.743**
5.	**Coir**	**4.8**	**234**	**20.555**
6.	**Hemp-jute-flax**	**4.9**	**228**	**21.629**
7.	**Jute-flax-coir**	**4.876**	**195**	**25.005**
8.	**Flax-coir-pine apple**	**1.6**	**300**	**5.33**
9.	**Coir-pine apple-hemp**	**5.6**	**216**	**26.08**
10.	**Pineapple-hemp-jute**	**4.6**	**176**	**26.19**
11.	**All Uni layers**	**4.24**	**320**	**13.25**
12.	**All Bi layers**	**4.4**	**273**	**16.35**

4.3: Charpy Impact test

Table: Impact test Result:

S. No	Specimen	Impact Energy (J)
1.	Hemp	2
2.	Jute	2
3.	Flax	6
4.	Pineapple	2
5.	Coir	4
6	Hemp-jute-flax	2
7.	Jute-flax-coir	2
8.	Flax-coir-pine apple	4
9.	Coir-pine apple-hemp	4
10.	Pineapple-hemp-jute	5
11.	All Uni layers	3
12.	All Bi layers	3

5. Conclusion:

In conclusion, the mechanical testing of natural fiber-reinforced composite materials used in gas flow pipelines has yielded significant findings, highlighting the diverse mechanical properties of these composites:

1. **Compressive Strength**:
    - The pineapple-reinforced composite displayed the highest compressive strength, achieving a notable value of 47 MPa.
    - In contrast, the coir-reinforced composite exhibited the lowest compressive strength among the tested materials.

2. **Tensile Strength**:
    - The composite comprising flax fibers demonstrated the highest tensile strength, with an impressive value of 53.56 MPa.
    - On the other end of the spectrum, the composite combining flax, coir, and pineapple fibers recorded the lowest tensile strength at 5.33 MPa.
3. **Charpy Impact Test**:
    - The flax-reinforced composite exhibited the highest resistance to impact, registering a value of 6 Joules, indicating its suitability for applications demanding impact resistance.
    - Conversely, the composite with the lowest resistance to impact was observed, with a value of 2 Joules, underlining its vulnerability to sudden shocks.

These findings underscore the distinctive mechanical characteristics of the tested composite materials. The pineapple-reinforced composite excelled in compressive strength, while the flax-reinforced composite demonstrated outstanding tensile strength and impact resistance. In contrast, the composite incorporating multiple fibers exhibited comparatively lower mechanical properties.

The choice of composite material for gas flow pipelines must consider the specific mechanical requirements and operational conditions. These results offer valuable insights into the materials' performance profiles and serve as a foundation for further research and optimization. Tailoring composite formulations to align with the precise demands of gas flow pipelines can enhance their reliability and safety, ultimately contributing to more robust and efficient pipeline systems.

6. References:

1. Yu, H. N., Kim, S. S., & Hwang, I. U. (2008). Application of natural fiber reinforced composites to trenchless rehabilitation of underground pipes. *Composite Structures*, *86*(1-3), 285-290.
2. Reis, J. M. L. (2006). Fracture and flexural characterization of natural fiber-reinforced polymer concrete. *Construction and building materials*, *20*(9), 673-678.
3. Budhe, S., Banea, M. D., & de Barros, S. (2020). Composite repair system for corroded metallic pipelines: an overview of recent developments and modelling. *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*, *25*, 1308-1323.
4. Bharath, K. N., & Basavarajappa, S. (2016). Applications of biocomposite materials based on natural fibers from renewable resources: a review. *Science and Engineering of Composite Materials*, *23*(2), 123-133.
5. Fahim, I. S., Elhaggar, S. M., & Elayat, H. (2012). Experimental investigation of natural fiber reinforced polymers.
6. Kim, Y. K., & Chalivendra, V. (2020). Natural fibre composites (NFCs) for construction and automotive industries. In *Handbook of natural fibres* (pp. 469-498). Woodhead Publishing.
7. Kalia, S., Kaith, B. S., & Kaur, I. (2009). Pretreatments of natural fibers and their application as reinforcing material in polymer composites—a review. *Polymer Engineering & Science*, *49*(7), 1253-1272.
8. Yan, D., Wang, R., Zhang, S., & Yin, X. Development and Research of Fiber-Reinforced Composites for CIPP for Pipeline Rehabilitation. *ICPTT 2013: Trenchless Technology*, 731-737.
9. Deaton, L. (2015). Fiber wrapped steel pipes for high-pressure pipelines. In *Rehabilitation of Pipelines Using Fiber-reinforced Polymer (FRP) Composites* (pp. 121-133). Woodhead Publishing.
10. Mahir, F. I., Keya, K. N., Sarker, B., Nahiun, K. M., & Khan, R. A. (2019). A brief review on natural fiber used as a replacement of synthetic fiber in polymer composites. *Materials Engineering Research*, *1*(2), 86-97.