



---

## **An Investigation on the Foundational Principles of Cognitive Linguistics**

*Yeganeh Yamani*

Masters student at Imam Reza International University  
Mashhad, Razavi Khorasan Province, Iran

---

### **Abstract**

An overview of Cognitive Linguistics' main theoretical commitments, presumptions, and worldview is provided in this article. It gives a basic overview of the major issues and theoretical direction of this quickly developing approach. Instead of a single, well defined theory, it is a wide theoretical and methodological effort. Its key responsibilities are listed. The first one is the Cognitive Commitment, which is a dedication to characterising language in a way that is consistent with what is understood about the mind and brain from other disciplines, and the second is the Generalization Commitment, which is a dedication to characterising universal principles that apply to all facets of human language.

**Key words:** Cognitive Linguistics, Language and Mind, Language Mechanism

---

### **Introduction**

The basic meaning of the word "cognitive science" was the gathering, processing, storing, and subsequent use of information. This field of science thus focused on the development and expansion of the information stored inside the human brain. Language serves a crucial purpose among other cognitive disciplines since it transmits ideas, according to G. Harman, who examined the function of language in the process of cognition. Language may also affect how people think (Harman, 1988). Cognitive linguistics and cognitivism, the study of the thinking mind and its processes, are closely related fields of study. A modern approach to language, language acquisition, and conceptual structure is represented by cognitive linguistics. The study goal is peoples' cognition, which includes supervision and control. Additionally, cognitive linguistics offers a viewpoint on language and the mind that is completely at odds with both formal semantics and generative grammar. While operating under the banner of cognitive psychology, cognitive linguistics is quite similar to functional linguistic methods. The fact that cognitive linguistics is not a single, clearly specified theory must also be emphasised. Instead, its strength derives in its vast theoretical and methodological enterprise. The enterprise's collection of basic commitments and central theses give it cohesion. Influential theories in the field have provided cognitive linguists in practise with the analytical and methodological tools to research the phenomena they address. Its overriding interest in examining the connection between spoken language, the mind, and sociophysical experience sets cognitive linguistics apart from other modern language disciplines. By adopting this viewpoint, cognitive linguistics approaches human cognition from a precisely defined and resolutely embodied standpoint. Given what is now known about the mind and brain, cognitive linguists have created a number of prominent hypotheses that have influenced other fields of cognitive research. These theories self-consciously aim towards and evaluate themselves against this need.

The development of cognitive linguistics may be traced back to studies done in the 1970s by a select group of academics. Fillmore (1975), Lakoff (1975, 1977), Langacker (1998), and Talmy are a few examples (1975,1978) The formal techniques that were then prevalent in the fields of linguistics and philosophy, particularly Generative Grammar (Chomsky, 1981) and Montague Grammar (Montague, 1970), gave rise to this study. Cognitive linguists often select a variety of unique but related areas of concentration. The investigation of language order and structure has given some industry researchers pause. This falls under the category of cognitive linguistics, which is sometimes known as cognitive approaches to grammar.

Comprehension how perception, categorization, classification, and understanding of the environment are carried out, how knowledge is collected, and which systems supply different sorts of information activities are the main objectives of cognitive linguistics. Not because many of the outcomes of mental activity are expressed in words, but rather because "we know the about constructions of consciousness due to language that allows us to disclose on these constructions and characterise them in any natural language," language is what allows for the most natural access to awareness and cognitive processes (Kubryakova, 2021).

---

### **The Worldview of Cognitive Linguistics**

The core tenets and commitments of cognitive linguistics give birth to a unique worldview with a variety of facets. A unique cognitive linguistic perspective on the nature of language and its connection with nonlinguistic components of cognition results from these factors taken together. Five aspects of the cognitive linguistics worldview have been outlined and identified in this section.

Language Represents Conceptual Structure Cognitive linguists believe that language reflects the embodied character of conceptual structure and organisation, in line with the embodied cognition thesis. Because of this, cognitive linguists significantly consider how language exhibits embodied conceptual structure while studying language. Studying conceptual metaphors is a prime instance of this. For example, conceptual metaphor theorists contend that humans use language to refer to more abstract domains like Time in terms of Space or states in terms of locations precisely because at the level of performing proper Time is methodically structured in aspects of conceptual structure selected from the domain of space and states are structured in contexts of spatial locations (Lakoff and Johnson, 1999).

---

### Language and mind

The study of mental processes uses language as a lens. Cognitive linguists claim that this is the case because it exhibits organisational principles of embodied cognition. As opposed to Chomsky's (1986) assertion, language is not governed by rules connected to an isolated module that is not part of the rest of cognition.

For instance, the cognitive linguist may identify patterns in the nature and organisation of conceptual structure by examining metaphorical patterns in language. In order to prove the existence of conceptual metaphors, which are cross-domain mappings that link different conceptual domains in an asymmetrical way, it is necessary to look at unique and productive patterns in language. In accordance with the Cognitive Commitment, convergent evidence from the other cognitive disciplines must be added to language evidence supporting conceptual structure. On the basis of gestural studies, evidence has arisen that some of Conceptual Metaphor Theory's core assumptions, such as those regarding Time-as-Space metaphors, are true (Talmy, 1975).

---

### Language Mechanism

A particular language is made up of a list of symbolic elements that are unique to that language. According to the symbolic thesis, each given language offers, given the available language-specific symbolic resources, a method of interpreting the same condition, situation, or event from the variety of viewpoints that are typically accessible to the language user. In other words, a language gives its speaker the tools to perceive the same picture in a variety of, and therefore diverse, ways. This acts as a construal mechanism. Construal is a technical word used in cognitive linguistics to describe the ability to linguistically encode the same circumstance in many ways.

---

### Language Influences and Cognition

Language has a transformational role since it offers several ways to interpret reality and is still tied to conceptual representation. As a result, it may have an impact on nonlinguistic parts of cognition. In other words, language may influence and modify conceptual representation in addition to reflecting it. For example, the fact that French and English have each conventionalized distinct methods of storing a certain geographical scenario results in what dubbed variations in thinking for speaking: In order to organise their thoughts for the sake of linguistic communication, speakers of any given language must pay attention to certain parts of their lived reality at the cost of others.

According to cognitive linguists, this language-specific "packing" has a significant impact on nonlinguistic cognition. In other words, language affects how we classify elements of our socio-physical environment and how we see reality without being influenced by language. For instance, Lera Boroditsky (2001, 2003) inferred from behavioural data that cross-linguistic variations in how time and gender are conceptualised affect nonlinguistic activity performance. This viewpoint is undoubtedly a part of a revival in the work of linguists of many theoretical persuasions who are speaking out more and more in favour of a neo-Whorfian viewpoint on the interaction between language and nonlinguistic cognition. This comeback might be attributed to Lucy's ground breaking classification research from 1992. Since then, a wide variety of fields have been studied using the Neo-Whorfian approach, probably most notably space and colour perception (Levinson, 2003, Thierry et al, 2000).

Language is one way humans form their perceptual, cognitive, and social worlds, according to cognitive linguistics. Modern humans share a diversity of cognitive systems and processes that provide us multiple ways to understand reality, so we may conceptualise together. Language is one way we learn about our surrounds, sociocultural reality, others, and ourselves. Cognitive linguists know that humans perceive their environment via sense-perceptory experience, and subjective experiences like emotion, and other cognitive assessments and moods. These encounters create a wealth of mental representations, sometimes with opposing "views" of reality. Cognitive linguistics suggests that language's semantic structure may influence conceptualization and other cognitive functions like categorization. Language doesn't define them.

---

### Principles of Cognitivism

Because cognition takes place within the "black box" of the brain, behaviourists were hesitant to examine mental processes like sensation, perception, attention, and memory (Jordan et al., 2008). The principles of cognitivism in this instance are sensory perception, attention, and memory. The explanation for them is in the following. Sense is the initial guiding concept. It demonstrates how the sensory system registers inputs obtained from external stimuli before sending them to the subsequent phase. The second fundamental idea is perception, which is the act of interpreting and making meaning of anything that may be perceived by our senses. It comprises of conscious perception, bottom-up or top-down processing, object identification, and pattern recognition. The third principle, attentiveness, emphasises the need of focusing on one issue above all others. Finding the conscious awareness is crucial.

Encoding is the fourth principle because it is crucial to store information after seeing and paying attention to stimuli, according to cognitive theory. The information may be encoded by first arranging it before forming it into a schema. In this instance, there are two possible methods to encode the information as experience. They are top down and bottom up (Jordan et al., 2008). By transmitting the information learned from the outside environment, experience is encoded from the bottom up. It is mediated by perception and attention. Top down is an alternative method for encoding experience. It takes the form of action-based previous knowledge and aids in bottom-up interpretation. Memory is the sixth principle. Memory is the capacity to retain and recall information. It comprises of sensory, long-term, and short-term memory. Limited information is stored there for a brief period of time. It also goes by the name "working memory" since it performs a few different tasks. They are retrieval, coding, decision-making, and rehearsal (repetition). According to (Viktorovna, 2019), long-term memory has the capacity to store a significant quantity of information, including facts, data, and instructions on how to utilise and process them. It implies that long-term memory is made up of a huge volume of data stored for a very long time. Using cues can help you preserve the knowledge in this sort of memory.

Cognitive Development in Piaget (1896-1980) the eminent Swiss psychologist Piaget is responsible for the cognitive developmental theory's description of cognitive theory. Hebb (2003) cites Piaget's theory, which claims that children actively create their worldviews and go through phases of cognitive development. It implies that Piaget defined cognitivism as the stage of a child's development when they are prepared to build the meaning of things via their own knowledge, starting with the simplest of things and progressing to the most complex. The foundation and resources for cognitive issue solving are knowledge and cognitive abilities (Bandura, 1989). The foundation of cognitive construction is composed of two processes. Organization and adaptability are them.

---

## CONCLUSION

A modern method to consider how language functions, how we learn and create new languages, and how our thoughts are organised is via the lens of cognitive linguistics. One of the most active and significant points of view on language, the mind, and the relationship between socio-physical embodied experience and the other branches of cognitive research Organizations are cohesive because they have a common set of fundamental principles. Because of the contributions of important theorists in the area, cognitive linguists in practise have the analytical and methodological tools to investigate the phenomena that these theories address. Comparatively to other recent studies of language and the mind, cognitive linguistics is especially interested in examining how language, the mind, and socio-physical experience interact with one another. This viewpoint enables cognitive linguistics to approach the study of human cognition in a clearly defined and unwaveringly embodied manner. In light of what is currently understood about the mind and brain, cognitive linguists have developed a number of well-known theories that actively seek and evaluate themselves against the condition of being psychologically plausible. These theories are part of the multidisciplinary field of cognitive science.

---

## References

- Bandura, A. (1989). Human agency in social cognitive theory. *American psychologist*, 44(9), 1175.
- Boroditsky L, Schmidt L, Phillips W. Sex (2003). syntax, and semantics. *Language in Mind: Advances in the Study of Language and Cognition*. Cambridge: MIT Pres, 61–79.
- Boroditsky L. (2001). Does language shape thought? English and Mandarin speakers' conceptions of time. *Cognit Psychol*, 43:1–22.
- Chomsky N. (1965). *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky N. (1981). *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Chomsky N. (1986) *Knowledge of Language: Its Nature, Origin and Use*. Santa Barbara: Greenwood Publishing.
- Fillmore C. (1975). An alternative to checklist theories of meaning. *Proceedings of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, 1:123–131.
- Harman, G., (1988). *Cognitive science. The making of cognitive Science: Essays in honour of George Miller*. Ed.by W. Hirst. Cambridge, pp: 43.
- Hebb. 2003. Chapter 2. Available on. [highered.mcgraw-hill.com/sites/dl/free/0070905738/80324/LSDChap02\\_1.pdf](http://highered.mcgraw-hill.com/sites/dl/free/0070905738/80324/LSDChap02_1.pdf). Accessed on 20 October 2012.
- Jordan, A., Carlite O., & Stack, A. (2008). *Approaches to learning: A guide for teachers*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill.
- Lakoff G, Johnson M. (1999). *Philosophy in the Flesh: The Embodied Mind and Its Challenge to Western Thought*. New York: Basic Books.
- Lakoff G, Thompson H. (1975). Introduction to cognitive grammar. *Proceedings of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, 1:295–313.
- Lakoff G. (1977). Linguistic gestalts. *Proceedings of the Chicago Linguistics Society*, 13:236–287.
- Langacker RW (1978). The form and meaning of the English auxiliary. *Language*, 54:853–882.
- Levinson S. (2000). *Space in Language and Cognition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003. 68.
- Lucy J. (1992). *Language Diversity and Thought: A Reformulation of the Linguistic Relativity Hypothesis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Montague R. (1970). *English as a Formal Language. Linguaggi nella societa e nella tecnica*. ` Milan: Edizioni di Comunita; 189–223.

---

Montague R. (1970). Universal grammar. *Theoria*, 36:373–398.

Ruzibaeva, N. R. (2021). Cognitive linguistics: basic concepts. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 2(1), 438-446.

Talmy L. (1975) *Syntax and Semantics 4*. New York: Academic Press; 181–238.

Talmy L. (1978). Figure and Ground in Complex Sentences. *Universals of Human Language*, vol 4. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 221–242.

Thierry G, Athanasopoulos A, Wiggett A, Dering B, Kuipers J-R. (2000). Unconscious effects of language-specific terminology on preattentive color perception. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* , 6:4567–4570.

Viktorovna, S. E. (2019). Method of improvement memory and perception by the model based on Leonardo da Vinci's cognitive strategies. *European science review*, (5-6), 58-63.