



Order and Convergence of Order 7th Numerical Scheme for the Solution of First Order System of IVP of Ordinary Differential Equations

Muhammad Abdullahi^{1*}, Sameer Abdulsaeed², G.I. Danbaba³, Bashir Sule⁴

^{1,2,3,4} Department of Mathematical Sciences, Federal University Dutsinma, Katsina State, Nigeria

Abstract

This work proposed order and convergence of order seventh numerical scheme for integrating first order stiff initial value problem. All the necessary and sufficient condition for convergence of multistep method are satisfied; the order of the method was analysed, the stability properties are investigated, the scheme is found to be zero stable and consistent. Hence, the scheme is convergent.

Keyword: Stiff, Order, Convergence, Ordinary Differential equation, IVPs

Introduction

Block backward differentiation formula is one of the reliable block numerical methods for obtaining solutions of stiff initial value problems. Backward differentiation formula was first discovered by Curtiss & Hirschfelder (1952), in his method integration of stiff equations, Cash (1980) extended the work of Curtiss, with integration of stiff system of ODEs using extended backward differentiation formula, Milner (1953) discovered block numerical solution of differential equation, Brugano (1998) with solving differential problem by multistep method, Chu and Hamilton (1987) with parallel solution of ODE's by multistep method, Dalquish (1974) with problem related to numerical method, an order five implicit 3-step block method for solving ordinary differential equation (Yahaya *et al.*, 2013), Implicit r-point block backward differentiation formula for solving first-order stiff ODEs (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2007), a new variable step size block backward differentiation formula for solving stiff initial value problems (Suleiman *et al.*, 2013), a new fifth order implicit block method for solving first order stiff ordinary differential equations by (Musa *et al* 2014), Musa *et al* (2016); Diagonally implicit super class of block backward differentiation formula for solving Stiff IVPs, Sagir *et al* (2012, 2013, 2022); an accurate computation of block hybrid method for solving stiff ODEs, One-leg Multistep Method for first Order Differential Equations (Fatuunla, 1984), Abdullahi *et al* (2021, 2021, 2022, 2022); Enhanced 3 point fully implicit super class of block backward differentiation formula for solving first order stiff initial value problems, Order and Convergence of the Enhanced 3-Point Fully Implicit Super Class of Block Backward Differentiation Formula for Solving First Order Stiff Initial Value Problems among other researches. All the method highlighted above possesses different degree of accuracy in one way or the other. However, some numerical method have good accuracy but, no advantage whatsoever when it comes to the issue of computational time. Initial value problem found in engineering and sciences need a scheme that is not only convergent. But, converge faster within a minimum number of iteration. This research aimed at testing the required criteria for the convergence of a numerical scheme for the solution of the system of IVPs of ODEs.

Material and Methods

Consider the block backward differentiation formula of the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^7 \alpha_j y_{n+j-3} = h\beta_k f_{n+k-3} \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (1)$$

The implicit four point method (1) is constructed using a linear operator L_i . To derive the four point, define the linear operator L_i associated with (1) as

$$L_i[y(x_n, h)]: \alpha_0 y_{n-3} + \alpha_1 y_{n-2} + \alpha_2 y_{n-1} + \alpha_3 y_n + \alpha_4 y_{n+1} + \alpha_5 y_{n+2} + \alpha_6 y_{n+3} + \alpha_7 y_{n+4} - h\beta_k f_{n+k-3} = 0 \quad k = i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (2)$$

To derive the first, second, third, and fourth points as y_{n+1} , y_{n+2} , y_{n+3} and y_{n+4} respectively Using Taylor series expansion in (2) and normalizing $\alpha_3 = 1$, $\alpha_4 = 1$, $\alpha_5 = 1$ and $\alpha_6 = 1$ as coefficient's of the four points, $k = 1$, $k = 2$, $k = 3$ and $k = 4$ respectively. To obtain

$$y_{n+1} = -\frac{1298881}{341643939} y_{n-3} + \frac{341643939}{569406565} y_{n-2} - \frac{72003623}{113881313} y_{n-1} + \frac{426060731}{341643939} y_n + \frac{6274637}{16268759} y_{n+2} - \frac{143998979}{1708219695} y_{n+3} + \frac{1847955}{113881313} y_{n+4} - \frac{9603792}{113881313} f_{n-2}$$

$$y_{n+2} = -\frac{79696}{845265} y_{n-3} + \frac{41929759}{9861425} y_{n-2} - \frac{68414023}{3944570} y_{n-1} + \frac{189894686}{5916855} y_n - \frac{7210474}{394457} y_{n+1} + \frac{21582821}{59168550} y_{n+3} + \frac{14016}{1972285} y_{n+4} + \frac{19789614}{1972285} f_{n-1} \quad (3)$$

$$y_{n+3} = \frac{70450}{1797393} y_{n-3} - \frac{1295843}{1198262} y_{n-2} + \frac{5593225}{599131} y_{n-1} + \frac{676840}{105725} y_n - \frac{11495780}{599131} y_{n+1} + \frac{6496015}{1198262} y_{n+2} + \frac{42690}{599131} y_{n+4} + \frac{845710}{46087} f_n$$

$$y_{n+4} = -\frac{338687}{348237} y_{n-3} - \frac{353855969}{77076456} y_{n-2} + \frac{2326014617}{19269114} y_{n-1} - \frac{4938738481}{115614684} y_n + \frac{1117145237}{19269114} y_{n+1} - \frac{11296250177}{77076456} y_{n+2} + \frac{495749336}{28903671} y_{n+3} + \frac{951570371}{3211519} f_{n+1}$$

Order of the Method

In this section, we derive the order of the methods (3). The method can be written as

$$\sum_{j=0}^1 C_j^* Y_{m+j-1} = h \sum_{j=0}^1 D_j^* Y_{m+j-1}, \tag{4}$$

where C_0^*, C_1^*, D_0^* and D_1^* are block matrices defined by

$C_0^* = [C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3]$, $C_1^* = [C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7]$, $D_0^* = [D_0, D_1, D_2, D_3]$, $D_1^* = [D_4, D_5, D_6, D_7]$ C_0^*, C_1^*, D_0^* and D_1^* are square matrices and Y_{m-1}, Y_m, F_{m-1} and F_m are column vectors defined as

$$Y_m = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{n+1} \\ Y_{n+2} \\ Y_{n+3} \\ Y_{n+4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{3m+1} \\ Y_{3m+2} \\ Y_{3m+3} \\ Y_{3m+4} \end{bmatrix}, Y_{m-1} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{n-3} \\ Y_{n-2} \\ Y_{n-1} \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{3(m-1)+1} \\ Y_{3(m-1)+2} \\ Y_{3(m-1)+3} \\ Y_{3(m-1)+4} \end{bmatrix}, F_{m-1} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{n-3} \\ f_{n-2} \\ f_{n-1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{3(m-1)+1} \\ f_{3(m-1)+2} \\ f_{3(m-1)+3} \\ f_{3(m-1)+4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$F_m = \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{3m+1} \\ f_{3m+2} \\ f_{3m+3} \\ f_{3m+4} \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

Thus, (3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1298881 & 341643939 & 72003623 & 426060731 \\ 341643939 & 569406565 & 113881313 & 341643939 \\ 79696 & 41929759 & 68414023 & 189894686 \\ 845265 & 9861425 & 3944570 & 5916855 \\ 70450 & 1295843 & 5593225 & 676840 \\ 1797393 & 1198262 & 599131 & 105729 \\ 338687 & 353855969 & 2326014617 & 49388481 \\ 348237 & 77076456 & 19269114 & 115614684 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_{n-3} \\ Y_{n-2} \\ Y_{n-1} \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} +$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6274637 & 143998979 & 9603792 \\ 7210474 & 16268759 & 1708219695 & 113881313 \\ 394457 & 1 & 21582821 & 14016 \\ 11495780 & 6496015 & 59168550 & 1972285 \\ 599131 & 1 & 42690 & 42690 \\ 1117145237 & 1198262 & 599131 & 599131 \\ 1117145237 & 11296250177 & 495749336 & 1 \\ 19269114 & 77076456 & 28903671 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_{n+1} \\ Y_{n+2} \\ Y_{n+3} \\ Y_{n+4} \end{bmatrix} = h \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 9603792 & 0 & 0 \\ 113881313 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 19789614 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1972285 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 845710 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 46087 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n-3} \\ f_{n-2} \\ f_{n-1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} + h$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 951570371 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3211519 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

From the (6) we have

$$C_0^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1298881 & 341643939 & 72003623 & 426060731 \\ 341643939 & 569406565 & 113881313 & 341643939 \\ 79696 & 41929759 & 68414023 & 189894686 \\ 845265 & 9861425 & 3944570 & 5916855 \\ 70450 & 1295843 & 5593225 & 676840 \\ 1797393 & 1198262 & 599131 & 105729 \\ 338687 & 353855969 & 2326014617 & 49388481 \\ 348237 & 77076456 & 19269114 & 115614684 \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

$$C_1^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6274637 & 143998979 & 9603792 \\ 7210474 & 16268759 & 1708219695 & 113881313 \\ 394457 & 1 & 21582821 & 14016 \\ 11495780 & 6496015 & 59168550 & 1972285 \\ 599131 & 1 & 42690 & 42690 \\ 1117145237 & 1198262 & 599131 & 599131 \\ 1117145237 & 11296250177 & 495749336 & 1 \\ 19269114 & 77076456 & 28903671 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{8}$$

$$D_0^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{9603792}{113881313} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{19789614}{1972285} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{845710}{46087} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D_1^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{951570371}{3211519} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Where

$$C_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1298881}{341643939} \\ \frac{79696}{845265} \\ \frac{70450}{1797393} \\ \frac{338687}{348237} \end{bmatrix} \quad C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{341643939}{569406565} \\ \frac{41929759}{9861425} \\ \frac{1295843}{1198262} \\ \frac{353855969}{348237} \end{bmatrix} \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{72003623}{113881313} \\ \frac{68414023}{3944570} \\ \frac{5593225}{599131} \\ \frac{2326014617}{19269114} \end{bmatrix} \quad C_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{426060731}{341643939} \\ \frac{1898894686}{5916855} \\ \frac{676840}{105729} \\ \frac{49388481}{115614684} \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$C_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{7210474} \\ \frac{394457}{11495780} \\ \frac{599131}{1117145237} \\ \frac{19269114}{19269114} \end{bmatrix} \quad C_5 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{6274637}{16268759} \\ \frac{1}{6496015} \\ \frac{1198262}{11296250177} \\ \frac{77076456}{77076456} \end{bmatrix} \quad C_6 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{143998979}{1708219695} \\ \frac{21582821}{59168550} \\ \frac{1}{495749336} \\ \frac{28903671}{28903671} \end{bmatrix} \quad C_7 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{9603792}{113881313} \\ \frac{14016}{1972285} \\ \frac{42690}{599131} \\ \frac{1}{1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$D_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{9603792}{113881313} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{19789614}{1972285} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{845710}{46087} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{951570371}{3211519} \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

$$D_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{951570371}{3211519} \end{bmatrix} \quad D_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad D_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

Definition: (Order of the method) the order of the block method (3) and its associated linear operator are given by

$$L[y(x); h] = \sum_{j=0}^7 [C_j y(x + jh)] - h \sum_{j=0}^7 [D_j y'(x + jh)] \quad (14)$$

where p is unique integer such that

$E_q = 0, q = 0, 1, \dots, p$ and $E_{p+1} \neq 0$, where the E_q are constant matrix

With

$$E_0 = \sum_{j=0}^7 C_j = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$E_1 = \sum_{j=0}^7 [jC_j - 2D_j] = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$E_2 = \sum_{j=0}^7 \left[\frac{1}{2!} j^2 C_j - 2jD_j \right] = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$E_3 = \sum_{j=0}^7 \left[\frac{1}{3!} j^3 C_j - 2 \frac{1}{2!} j^2 D_j \right] = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$E_4 = \sum_{j=0}^7 \left[\frac{1}{4!} j^4 C_j - 2 \frac{1}{3!} j^3 D_j \right] = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$E_5 = \sum_{j=0}^7 \left[\frac{1}{5!} j^5 C_j - 2 \frac{1}{4!} j^4 D_j \right] = 0 \quad (21)$$

$$E_6 = \sum_{j=0}^7 \left[\frac{1}{6!} j^6 C_j - 2 \frac{1}{5!} j^5 D_j \right] = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$E_7 = \sum_{j=0}^7 \left[\frac{1}{6!} j^6 C_j - 2 \frac{1}{5!} j^5 D_j \right] = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$E_8 = \sum_{j=0}^7 \left[\frac{1}{7!} j^7 C_j - 2 \frac{1}{6!} j^6 D_j \right] \neq 0 \quad (23)$$

Therefore, the method (3) is of order 7, with error constant as: $E_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 210 \\ 8293585 \\ 324 \\ 3184255 \\ 981 \\ 6926402 \\ 563 \\ 5947583 \end{bmatrix}$

Convergence of the Method

In this section, we apply the theorem on convergence by Henrici (1962) to analysed the convergence of the method (3)

Theorem (1): Henrici (1962) stated the following conditions for convergence of Linear Multi-Step Method (LMM):

1. A necessary condition for convergence of the Linear Multi-step Method (3) is that the modulus of none of the root of the associated polynomial $\rho(\xi)$ exceeds one, and that the roots of modulus one is simple. The condition, thus imposed on $\rho(\xi)$ is called the condition of zero stability.
2. A necessary condition for convergence of the Linear Multi-step Method (3) is that the order of the associated difference operator be at least one. The condition that the order $\rho \geq 1$, is called the condition of consistency.

To investigate the convergence of (3), the method need to meet conditions (1) and (2) in the stated theorem.

Zero Stability of the Method

Definition: (Zero-Stable) A linear multistep method is said to be zero stable if no root of its first characteristics polynomial has modulus greater than one and that any root with modulus one, is simple.

The method (3) is converted into matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{6274637}{16268759} & \frac{143998979}{1708219695} & -\frac{9603792}{113881313} \\ \frac{7210474}{394457} & 1 & -\frac{21582821}{59168550} & -\frac{14016}{1972285} \\ \frac{11495780}{599131} & -\frac{6496015}{1198262} & 1 & -\frac{42690}{599131} \\ -\frac{1117145237}{19269114} & \frac{11296250177}{77076456} & -\frac{495749336}{28903671} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y_{n+3} \\ y_{n+4} \end{bmatrix} = h \begin{bmatrix} y_{n-3} \\ y_{n-2} \\ y_{n-1} \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} + h \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{9603792}{113881313} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{19789614}{1972285} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{845710}{46087} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n-3} \\ f_{n-2} \\ f_{n-1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} + h \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{951570371}{3211519} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

(24) can be transform into matrix form

$$A_0 Y_m = A_1 Y_{m-1} + h(B_0 F_{m-1} + B_1 F_m) \quad (25)$$

Where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{6274637}{16268759} & \frac{143998979}{1708219695} & -\frac{9603792}{113881313} \\ \frac{7210474}{394457} & 1 & -\frac{21582821}{59168550} & -\frac{14016}{1972285} \\ \frac{11495780}{599131} & -\frac{6496015}{1198262} & 1 & -\frac{42690}{599131} \\ -\frac{1117145237}{19269114} & \frac{11296250177}{77076456} & -\frac{495749336}{28903671} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1298881}{341643939} & \frac{341643939}{79696} & \frac{72003623}{113881313} & \frac{426060731}{341643939} \\ \frac{569406565}{41929759} & \frac{113881313}{68414023} & \frac{189894686}{189894686} & \\ \frac{845265}{70450} & \frac{9861425}{1295843} & \frac{3944570}{5593225} & \frac{5916855}{676840} \\ \frac{1797393}{338687} & \frac{1198262}{353855969} & \frac{599131}{2326014617} & \frac{105729}{49388481} \\ \frac{348237}{77076456} & & \frac{19269114}{115614684} & \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

$$B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{9603792}{113881313} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{19789614}{1972285} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{845710}{46087} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{951570371}{3211519} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

Y_{m-1}, Y_m, F_{m-1} and F_m are column vectors defined as

$$Y_m = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{n+1} \\ Y_{n+2} \\ Y_{n+3} \\ Y_{n+4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{3m+1} \\ Y_{3m+2} \\ Y_{3m+3} \\ Y_{3m+4} \end{bmatrix}, Y_{m-1} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{n-3} \\ Y_{n-2} \\ Y_{n-1} \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{3(m-1)+1} \\ Y_{3(m-1)+2} \\ Y_{3(m-1)+3} \\ Y_{3(m-1)+4} \end{bmatrix}, F_{m-1} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{n-3} \\ f_{n-2} \\ f_{n-1} \\ f_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{3(m-1)+1} \\ f_{3(m-1)+2} \\ f_{3(m-1)+3} \\ f_{3(m-1)+4} \end{bmatrix} \quad (29)$$

$$F_m = \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ f_{n+2} \\ f_{n+3} \\ f_{n+4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{3m+1} \\ f_{3m+2} \\ f_{3m+3} \\ f_{3m+4} \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

Substituting scalar test equation $y' = \lambda y$ ($\lambda < 0, \lambda$ complex) into (25) and using $\lambda h = \bar{h}$ gives

$$A_0 Y_m = A_1 Y_{m-1} + \bar{h}(B_0 Y_{m-1} + B_1 Y_m) \quad (31)$$

The stability polynomial of (3) is obtained by evaluating

$$\det[(A_0 - \bar{h}B_1)t - (A_1 + \bar{h}B_0)] = 0 \quad \text{using maple software} \quad (32)$$

To get

$$R(\bar{h}, t) = \frac{960903168075475594351033033}{132975325936366820357365460} \bar{h} - \frac{446737709680296868675844731429}{106380260749093456285892368} t - \frac{2678968322985075820857255249}{6648766296818341017868273} t^4 \bar{h} - \frac{193733184956304804387420096}{6648766296818341017868273} t^3 \bar{h}^2 - \frac{25608169462430881261642608}{6648766296818341017868273} \bar{h}^2 + \frac{638171663697310966422440976921}{106380260749093456285892368} t^2 - \frac{1213264202117730393567153127537}{199462988904550230536048190} t \bar{h} - \frac{5676300825071886605672385159541}{398925977809100461072096380} t^2 \bar{h} - \frac{1567043388639268347778339112886}{33243831484091705089341365} t^3 \bar{h} + \frac{6367613571247823503023834144}{511443561293718539836021} t^2 \bar{h}^2 - \frac{2832643875122663279618351136}{6648766296818341017868273} t \bar{h}^2 + \frac{30595712343518318988667155247}{531901303745467281429461840} - \frac{92156513088949372852808209}{5114435612937185398360210} t^4 - \frac{1535606287389855687511705383}{835009895989744554834320} t^3 \quad (33)$$

By putting $\bar{h} = 0$ in (33), we obtain the first characteristic polynomial as

$$R(0, t) = -\frac{446737709680296868675844731429}{106380260749093456285892368} t + \frac{638171663697310966422440976921}{106380260749093456285892368} t^2 - \frac{1535606287389855687511705383}{835009895989744554834320} t^3 - \frac{92156513088949372852808209}{5114435612937185398360210} t^4 + \frac{30595712343518318988667155247}{531901303745467281429461840} \quad (34)$$

Since, the roots of (34) are $t_1 = 1$ and $t_2, t_3, t_4 \leq 0$

Therefore, the method (3) is zero Stable.

Consistency Conditions

Definition 3: A Linear Multi-Step Method is said to be consistent if its order p is greater than or equal to one. It also follows that a LMM is consistent if and only if:

$$\sum_{j=0}^K C_j = 0 \quad (35)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^K j C_j = \sum_{j=0}^K D_j \quad (36)$$

Where C_j and D_j are constant coefficient matrices. It follows that (3) is consistent if and only if $\rho(1) = 0$ and $\rho(1) = \sigma(1)$. Where ρ and σ are the 1st and 2nd characteristic polynomial respectively.

Obviously (3) has order greater than 1, that is order $p \geq 1$.

Thus, conditions (35) and (36) are met.

$$\sum_{j=0}^7 C_j = C_0 + C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 + C_5 + C_6 + C_7$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1298881 \\ 341643939 \\ 79696 \\ 845265 \\ 70450 \\ 1797393 \\ 338687 \\ 348237 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 341643939 \\ 569406565 \\ 41929759 \\ 9861425 \\ 1295843 \\ 1198262 \\ 353855969 \\ 348237 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 72003623 \\ 113881313 \\ 68414023 \\ 3944570 \\ 5593225 \\ 599131 \\ 2326014617 \\ 19269114 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 426060731 \\ 341643939 \\ 1898894686 \\ 5916855 \\ 676840 \\ 105729 \\ 49388481 \\ 115614684 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 7210474 \\ 394457 \\ 11495780 \\ 599131 \\ 1117145237 \\ 19269114 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 6274637 \\ 16268759 \\ 1 \\ 6496015 \\ 1198262 \\ 11296250177 \\ 77076456 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 143998979 \\ 1708219695 \\ 21582821 \\ 59168550 \\ 1 \\ 495749336 \\ 28903671 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 9603792 \\ 113881313 \\ 14016 \\ 1972285 \\ 42690 \\ 599131 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (37)$$

Therefore, the condition (35) is satisfied. Also

$$\sum_{j=0}^7 jC_j = 0 \cdot C_0 + 1 \cdot C_1 + 2 \cdot C_2 + 3 \cdot C_3 + 4 \cdot C_4 + 5 \cdot C_5 + 6 \cdot C_6 + 7 \cdot C_7$$

$$0 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1298881 \\ 341643939 \\ 79696 \\ 845265 \\ 70450 \\ 1797393 \\ 338687 \\ 348237 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 341643939 \\ 569406565 \\ 41929759 \\ 9861425 \\ 1295843 \\ 1198262 \\ 353855969 \\ 348237 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 72003623 \\ 113881313 \\ 68414023 \\ 3944570 \\ 5593225 \\ 599131 \\ 2326014617 \\ 19269114 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 426060731 \\ 341643939 \\ 1898894686 \\ 5916855 \\ 676840 \\ 105729 \\ 49388481 \\ 115614684 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 7210474 \\ 394457 \\ 11495780 \\ 599131 \\ 1117145237 \\ 19269114 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 6274637 \\ 16268759 \\ 1 \\ 6496015 \\ 1198262 \\ 11296250177 \\ 77076456 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ 6 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 143998979 \\ 1708219695 \\ 21582821 \\ 59168550 \\ 1 \\ 495749336 \\ 28903671 \end{bmatrix} + 7 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 9603792 \\ 113881313 \\ 14016 \\ 1972285 \\ 42690 \\ 599131 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9603792 \\ 113881313 \\ 19789614 \\ 1972285 \\ 845710 \\ 46087 \\ 951570371 \\ 3211519 \end{bmatrix} \quad (38)$$

And

$$\sum_{j=0}^7 D_j = D_0 + D_1 + D_2 + D_3 + D_4 + D_5 + D_6 + D_7$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 9603792 \\ 113881313 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 19789614 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 845710 \\ 46087 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 951570371 \\ 3211519 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9603792 \\ 113881313 \\ 19789614 \\ 1972285 \\ 845710 \\ 46087 \\ 951570371 \\ 3211519 \end{bmatrix} \quad (39)$$

Therefore, $\sum_{j=0}^7 jC_j = \sum_{j=0}^7 D_j$. Thus, condition in (24) is also met; the method (3) is consistent.

Hence, the method (3) is Convergent in accordance with the theorem (1)

Conclusion

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence of a linear multistep method highlighted in theorem (1) are satisfied by the proposed method. The proposed scheme found to be of order 7, zero stable and consistent. The convergent method is recommended for the solution of first order system of initial value problem of ordinary differential equations.

References

- A.M. Sagir, Abdullahi M (2022), A Robust Diagonally Implicit Block Method for Solving First Order Stiff IVP of ODEs. *Applied Mathematics and Computational Intelligence* 11(1): 252 – 273.
- A. M. Sagir, “Numerical Treatment of Block method for the solution of Ordinary Differential Equations,” *International Journal of Bioengineering and Life Science*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 16 – 20, 2014.
- Abdullahi, M., & Musa, H. (2021). Enhanced 3 Point Fully Implicit Super Class of Block Backward Differentiation Formula for Solving First Order Stiff Initial Value Problems. *FUDMA Journal of Sciences (FJS)*, 5(2), 120-127.
- Abdullahi, M., & Musa, H. (2021). Order and Convergence of the Enhanced 3-Point Fully Implicit Super Class of Block Backward Differentiation Formula for Solving First Order Stiff Initial Value Problems. *FUDMA Journal of Sciences (FJS)*, 5(2), 579-584.
- Abdullahi M, Suleiman S., Sagir A.M., & Sule B. (2022). An A-stable Block Integrator Scheme for the Solution of First Order System of IVPs of Ordinary Differential Equations. *Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 16(4), 11-28.
- Brugano L. & Trigiante D. (1998); solving differential problem by multistep initial and Boundary value method: Gordon and Breach Science publication. Amsterdam.
- Curtis C.F. and Hirschfelder J.O (1952); Integration of stiff Equations, National Academy of Sciences. Vol.38: 235-243.
- Cash J.R. (1980), on the integration of stiff systems of ODEs using extended backward differentiation formulae, *Numerical Mathematics*. Vol.34: 235-246.
- Chu M.T, Hamilton H. (1987); Parallel solution of ODE's by multi-block methods. *SIAM. J Sci. Stat. Comput.* Vol.8: 342-353.
- Dahlquist C.G (1974), Problem related to the numerical treatment of stiff differential equations. *International Computing Symposium*: Vol. 307 – 314.
- Fatunla, S.O. (1984). One-leg Multistep Method for first Order Differential Equations. *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, 10: 1-4.
- Henrici, P. (1962); *Discrete Variable Methods in ODEs*. New York: John Wiley.
- Ibrahim, Z. B., Othman, K., & Suleiman, M.B. (2007). Implicit r-point block backward differentiation formula for solving first- order stiff ODEs. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 186, 558-565.
- Milner, W.E. (1953); *Numerical solution of differential equation*. John Wiley, New York
- Musa, H., Suleiman, M. B., Ismail, F., Senu, N, Majid and Z. A., Ibrahim, Z. B. (2014). A new fifth order implicit block method for solving first order stiff ordinary differential equations. *Malaysian Journal of Mathematicam Sciences* 8(S): 45-59.
- Musa, H., & Unwala, A.M. (2019). Extended 3-Point Super Class of Block Backward Differentiation Formula for Solving First Order Stiff Initial Value Problems. *ABACUS: (Mathematics Science Series)*, 44(1)
- Musa, H., Bature, B., & Ibrahim, L. K. (2016). Diagonally implicit super class of block backward differentiation formula for solving Stiff IVPs. *Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics*, 36: 73 – 80.
- Sulaiman M.B, Musa H, Ismail F, Senu, Ibrahim Z.B. (2013), A new super class of blockbackward differentiation formula for stiff ODEs, *Asian European journal of Mathematics*. Vol. 7(1): 1350034–17
- Sagir , A. M (2012), An accurate computation of block hybrid method for solving stiff ODEs. *IOSR Journal of Mathematic (IOSR-JM)* 4(4):18-21
- Sagir, A.M. (2013). 2-Block 3-Point Modified Numerov Block Methods for Solving Ordinary Differential Equations. *International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, 7(1), 88–93.
- Yahaya, Y.A and A.M. Sagir (2013); an order five implicit 3-step block method for solving system of ODEs. *Pacific journal of science and Technology*, 14(1):176-181