

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A study on Investor Perception towards Mutual Fund Decision

Krisha Ashishkumar Patel ¹, Dr. Manisha Surti²

¹Student B.V Patel Institute of Management, UkaTarsadia University- Bardoli ²Assistant Professor, B.V Patel Institute of Management, UkaTarsadia University- Bardoli

ABSTRACT:

A mutual fund is a trust that team up the saving of number of investors who share a common economic goal. They are investment vehicles and one can use them to invest in assets such as equities of fixed income. It is managed by professional fund managers. It provides risk diversification benefits of making investments in mutual fund are reduction of risk, liquidity, affordability, convenience flexibility and variety. Customer has to identify the best mutual fund management companies and also the suitable schemes among the various schemes floated by mutual fund decision. Mutual fund is still and would continue to be the unique financial tool in the country. One has to appreciate the fact that every aspects of life as its periods of high and lows. Mutual fund has not failed in any country where they worked with regulatory frame work. The future is bright. The poor performance of many mutual funds schemes may be mostly attributed to the quality of personal involved and their matter of fund management.

INTRODUCTION:

A mutual fund is a professionally managed investment fund that pools money from many investors to purchase securities. Mutual funds are often classified by their principal investments: money market funds, bond or fixed income funds, stock or equity funds, or hybrid funds. Funds may also be categorized as index funds, which are passively managed funds that track the performance of an index, such as a stock market index or bond market index, or actively managed funds, which seek to outperform stock market indices but generally charge higher fees. Primary structures of mutual funds are open-end funds, closedend funds, unit investment trusts. Open-end funds are purchased from or sold to the issuer at the net asset value of each share as of the close of the trading day in which the order was placed, as long as the order was placed within a specified period before the close of trading. can be traded directly with the issuer via an electronic trading They or platform or stockbroker.

Mutual funds have advantages and disadvantages compared to direct investing in individual securities. The advantages of mutual funds include economies of scale, diversification, liquidity, and professional management. However, these come with mutual fund fees and expenses. Mutual funds are regulated by governmental bodies and are required to publish

information including performance, comparison of performance to benchmarks, fees charged, and securities held. A single mutual fund may have several share classes by which larger investors pay lower fees.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Priyanka Sharma, Payal Agrawal (2015) "Investor preference toward Mutual Fund as an Investment option." To analysis the impact of demographic factors in influencing buyer's decision. To identify the intensity of various factors that positively and adversely affect buyer's decision. It is concluded that the MFs business in Udaipur is still in an embryonic stage. So, concerted efforts and needed for its success. The success depends upon high returns, professional competence of fund managers, a MF brings together a group of people and invests their money in stocks, bonds and other securities, it has so many advantages such as professional management, economics of scale. The MF should be easy to buy and sell through broker or directly in the market. It also has some draw backs such as low awareness, too many formalities, difficult to select.

V. Rathnamani (2013) "Investor's Preferences towards Mutual Fund Industry in Trichy." To find out which factors attract investors to invest in mutual fund and to analyse the investors awareness and perception regarding mutual fund. The study explains that many investors are preferred to invest in mutual fund in order to have high return at low level of risk, safety liquidity. In this study investors are willing to take moderate and low level risk; most of the investors belong to moderate investment style.

Gupta (2012) "Investor preference towards mutual fund and other financial assets." To know the preference of investor and their need regarding mutual fund investment. Find out investor can also plan like one mutual fund of diversified equity plan, second mutual fund of balanced type. Particularly the small investors, mutual funds have provided a better alternative to obtain benefits of expertise based on equity investments to all types of investors. Studied relating various issues like types of mutual fund schemes, level of satisfaction, role of the financial advisor and brokers, source of information and deficiency of service provided by the MF managers etc.

PreetiKhitoliya (2014) "Investors Awareness & perceived risk attitude towards mutual fund" To understand the investor's perceptions and awareness level about mutual fund and its functioning as an investment instruments. It concludes that tax benefit and flexibility as the greatest benefit of investing in mutual fund followed by transparency, diversification and

return. Majority respondent in the age of 35-44 wish to invest in the mutual fund which ensures wealth maximization followed by balanced fund and income funds similar results were seen in the age group of 25-34 but a reverse trend was seen in the age group of 45+ years where majority was risk aversive as they expressed to invest in mutual fund scheme which guarantees safety of principal amount followed by balanced fund and growth fund.

Gaurav Agrawal and Dr. Mini Jain (2013) "Investors preference towards mutual fund in comparison to other investment avenues" To find out the overall criterion of investors regarding. Maximum investors are preferring about banks & LIC investment avenues only.

D. Senthil and Dr. M. Syed Zefar (2005) "Mutual Fund- Investors' Perceptions and realities". To study says that investors prefer mutual fund than share because high risk is associated with shares. It concludes main purpose of the study is to identify the factors which make them invest and to retain in mutual fund. The main aim of the study is to find out the investors perception and realities in the current scenario and measure extend of satisfaction derived by customer towards the performance of mutual fund and willingness to invest in future despite the current prevailing condition of the market.

"A conducted a study to assess the awareness of mutual funds among the investors to identify the information sources influencing the buyer decision and the factors influencing the choice of a particular fund"

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To study the various factors affecting investor perception towards mutual fund.
- To study the investors perception and interest towards mutual funds.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1. Research problems

What is investors' perceptions towards mutual fund?

2. Research Design

Generally, there are three types of Research Design.

- Exploratory research design.
- Descriptive research design.
- Casual research design.

In context of this project report I have utilized descriptive research design.

3. Data Collection

Primary Data

To primary data collection by questionnaire.

Secondary Data

To secondary data collection by websites, magazines & newspaper.

4. Sampling size :153

5. Sampling Design

Sampling method

Random sampling method which gives equal chance to all respondent for filling the questionnaire & express their view.

Convenience sampling

Convenience sampling is used in reliable design but normally cheapest & easiest to conduct. In this design the researcher has to freedom to choose the respondents according to their convenience, so that point of view I used the convenience sampling method.

6. Sampling area: Chikhli and Valsad city.

DATA ANALYSIS

Chi-Square Tests:

H0: There is no significant relationship between demographic variables and investing in mutual fund.

H1: There is a significant relationship between demographic variables and not investing in mutual fund.

Represents the relationship respondents.

Demographic	Do you invest in	Chi – Square									
Factor	Yes	Yes No 2		Df	P- Value						
Gender											
Male	73	5	1.502	1	0.220						
Female	65	9	1.302	1	0.220						
		Age									
Below 20	18	7									
21 To 30	39	4	13.782	3	0.003						
31 To 40	37	2									

Above 40	43	1			
1	Education	on Qualification	on	-	1
SSC	12	2			
HSC	31	4			
Graduate	59	5	1.846	4	0.764
Post Graduate	26	3			
Other	10	0			
	Ann	nual Income	ı		1
50001 - 100001	29	8			
100001-500001	59	4	9.208	3	0.027
500001-1000001	43	2	9.208	3	0.027
More than1000001	6	0			
From which	source of inf	ormation to y	ou on mutua	l fund?	1
Relative	21	5			
Newspaper	26	1	11.099	4	0.025
Friends	29	6	11.099	4	0.023
Financial Advisor	43	0			
Financial Institution	19	2			
Но	w did you pu	rchase of mut	ual funds?	-	1
Online	51	8			
Through Broker	37	2	2.551	4	0.014
Through Bank Branches	27	1	2.331	4	0.014
Post office	19	3			
Which n	nutual fund p	lan do you co	nsider the be	est?	1
Balance Plan	45	4			
Equity Plan	52	4	9.140	3	0.027
Income Plan	31	6			
How much return do	you except fr	om mutual fu	nd at the tin	ne of invest	tment?
5 -10%	28	4			
10 -15%	61	2	16,002	4	0.002
15 -20%	32	3	16.003	4	0.003
Above 20	17	5			

From the above table it can interpret that, the P-Value is greater than 0.05 in the gender and education qualification which is rejected. All another factor is less than 0.05 which is accepted. So there is no relationship between all the factor.

H0: There is no significant relationship between demographic variables and factor affecting mutual fund decision.

H1: There is a significant relationship between demographic variables and not factor affecting mutual fund decision.

Represents the relationship between respondents of age.

•	1	•	1	C				
Demographic	Factors tha	at affects	the mutu	al fund deci	sion * Age	Chi – So	quare)
Factor	1	2	3	4	5	χ2	Df	P- Value
			Lio	quidity			ı	l
Below 20	5	0	2	2	16			
21 – 30	5	4	5	9	21	20.151	12	0.058
31 – 40	9	4	7	4	15	20.131	12	0.038
Above 40	10	2	0	3	29			
	l	I	High	Return			ı	I
Below 20	2	2	2	4	15			
21 – 30	2	4	6	10	22	15.402	12	0.220
31 – 40	4	5	6	9	15	13.402	12	0.220
Above 40	4	3	0	5	32			
		Pro	ofessiona	al Managem	ent	L		
Below 20	2	1	5	2	15			
21 – 30	3	3	8	11	19	16.156	12	0.184
31 – 40	2	3	4	5	25	10.130	12	0.164
Above 40	3	0	3	4	34			
	1		Diver	sification	l	l		l
Below 20	2	1	4	5	13			
21 – 30	1	3	4	11	25	13.441	12	0.338
31 – 40	2	5	4	10	18	13.441	12	0.336
Above 40	2	1	0	10	31			
	1	1	Bran	d Image	<u>I</u>	I	l	<u>I</u>
Below 20	1	2	2	6	14	31.595	12	0.002
21 – 30	1	1	9	12	20	31.393	12	0.002
	1	<u> </u>	L	1	1	1	l	L

31 – 40	0	3	7	4	25			
Above 40	4	0	0	3	17			
			F	Price		•	•	
Below 20	1	1	3	5	15			
21 – 30	2	2	5	7	28		12	
31 – 40	2	2	4	8	23	5.140	12	0.953
Above 40	4	1	1	10	28			
]	Risk		•	•	
Below 20	2	2	5	4	12			
21 – 30	2	3	5	12	22	5.386	12	0.944
31 – 40	4	4	7	6	18	3.300	12	0.277
Above 40	4	2	7	7	24			

From the above table it can interpret that, the P-Value which is greater than 0.05 in all the factors which is rejected. Liquidity and brand image is less than 0.05 which is accepted. So there is major relationship between all the factor.

Represents the relationship between respondents of education qualification.

Demographic	Factors	that a	ffects th	e mutua	al fund	Chi – Sq	Chi – Square				
Factor	decision	ı * Educa	ation Qua	ı							
	1	2	3	4	5	χ2	Df	P-			
	1	2	3	+	3			Value			
	l		Liqu	uidity			1	•			
SSC	4	0	0	0	10						
HSC	5	5	0	4	21						
Graduate	15	3	8	8	31	18.727	16	0.283			
Post Graduate	4	2	4	4	15						
Other	1	0	2	2	5						
	l		High	Return			1	•			
SSC	4	0	0	1	9						
HSC	2	7	1	5	20						
Graduate	6	6	8	16	29	28.915	16	0.025			
Post Graduate	0	0	4	5	20						
Other	0	1	1	2	6						

		Pro	fessional	Manage	ement						
SSC	4	0	0	1	9						
HSC	0	3	6	2	24						
Graduate	5	2	12	12	34	26.113	16	0.052			
Post Graduate	1	1	2	5	20						
Other	0	1	1	2	6						
Diversification											
SSC	4	0	0	2	8						
HSC	0	1	2	11	21						
Graduate	3	6	5	15	36	28.058	16	0.031			
Post Graduate	0	2	4	6	17						
Other	0	1	1	3	5						
	l		Branc	l Image							
SSC	3	0	0	3	8						
HSC	0	1	2	5	27						
Graduate	1	3	11	11	38	23.831	16	0.093			
Post Graduate	1	1	5	4	18						
Other	1	1	0	2	6						
			P	rice		•	1				
SSC	3	0	0	2	9						
HSC	0	0	3	9	23						
Graduate	3	2	7	14	39	19.959	16	0.222			
Post Graduate	2	3	3	3	18						
Other	1	1	0	3	5						
			R	isk				1			
SSC	4	0	4	2	4						
HSC	3	3	3	5	21						
Graduate	4	6	10	12	33	20.636	16	0.193			
Post Graduate	1	1	4	8	15						
Other	0	1	3	3	3						

From the above table it can interpret that, the P-Value which is greater than 0.05 has many factors which is rejected. Another factor is less than 0.05 which is accepted that is high

return, professional management and diversification. So there is no relationship between all the factor.

Represents the relationship between respondents of occupation.

Demographic	Factor	s that	affects	the m	nutual	Chi – Squ	iare			
Factor	fund d	lecision	* Occu	ıpation						
	1	2	3	4	5	χ2	Df	P-		
								Value		
	I	I	l	Liquio	lity					
Student	11	3	7	6	27					
Businessman	10	3	2	3	24	6.636	12	0.881		
House Wife	3	1	1	4	14	0.030		0.881		
Professional	5	3	4	5	17					
	1	1	Н	ligh Ro	eturn	ı	1			
Student	4	6	8	9	27					
Businessman	5	3	1	9	24	10.245	12	0.595		
House Wife	1	2	0	5	15	10.243	12	0.575		
Professional	2	3	5	6	18					
Professional Management										
Student	5	4	8	10	27					
Businessman	4	0	5	3	30	15.642	12	0.208		
House Wife	0	2	4	1	16	13.042	12	0.200		
Professional	1	1	4	8	20					
	•		Di	versifi	cation			-		
Student	3	4	6	15	26					
Businessman	2	2	1	11	26	7.488	12	0.824		
House Wife	0	1	3	4	15	7.400	12	0.024		
Professional	2	3	2	7	20					
		l	В	rand I	mage	1	1	- 1		
Student	2	3	8	13	27					
Businessman	3	0	2	4	33					
House Wife	0	3	3	2	15	19.834	12	0.070		
Professional	1	0	5	6	22					

	Price										
Student	3	4	7	9	31						
Businessman	3	0	1	4	34	18.236	12	0.109			
House Wife	1	0	2	7	13	16.230	12	0.109			
Professional	2	2	3	11	16						
				Risl	K						
Student	4	5	8	10	27						
Businessman	2	2	6	6	26	8.667	12	0.731			
House Wife	4	2	3	5	9	0.007	12	0.731			
Professional	2	2	7	9	14						

From the above table it can interpret that, the P-Value which are greater than 0.05 where all the factor which is rejected. So there is no relationship between all the factor as all factors are said to be rejected.

H0: There is no significant relationship between demographic variables and preference towards mutual fund.

H1: There is a significant relationship between demographic variables and not preference towards mutual fund.

Represents the relationship between respondents of age.

Demographic	Give	your pr	eference	towards	Chi – Square		
Factor	mutua	l funds d	ecision.*	Age			
	SA	A	N	DA	χ2	Df	P-
							Value
Mutual Funds a	re usefu	ıl for sma	all invest	ors.			
Below 20	17	7	1	0			
21 – 30	24	11	7	2	16.443	9	0.058
31 – 40	25	9	5	1	10.773		0.030
Above 40	39	2	2	1			
N	Mutual	Fund giv	es higher	return tha	n other inv	estments.	
Below 20	13	11	0	1			
21 – 30	19	18	4	3	6.228		0.717
31 – 40	16	16	4	4		9	
Above 40	25	14	2	3			

	Mutual	Fund wi	th large o	corpus of	fund perforn	n better.	
Below 20	14	9	1	1			
21 – 30	17	17	4	6	9.043	9	0.433
31 – 40	19	15	4	2	9.043	9	0.433
Above 40	27	14	1	2	-		
Public Sect	or Mutu	ial Fund	are more	secured the	han private s	sector Mutual	Fund.
Below 20	13	11	0	1			
21 – 30	18	16	7	3	8.915	9	
31 – 40	22	13	4	1	0.913	9	0.445
Above 40	27	12	3	2			
	Pı	ublic Sec	tor Mutu	al Fund po	erforms bette	er.	
Below 20	15	8	1	1			
21 – 30	15	20	3	6	10.402	9	
31 – 40	16	16	3	5	10.402	,	0.319
Above 40	25	13	0	6			
Mutual I	Fund ha	ve better	professio	onal exper	tise than ind	lividual invest	or.
Below 20	13	10	0	2			
21 – 30	13	17	10	4	26.315	9	0.002
31 – 40	13	20	3	4	20.313	,	0.002
Above 40	29	13	1	1			
Investr	nent in	equity ma	arket thro	ough Muti	ual Fund red	uces risk leve	1.
Below 20	15	8	0	2			
21 – 30	15	21	5	3	12.838	9	0.170
31 – 40	22	10	4	4	12.030		0.170
Above 40	26	15	1	2			
	Retur	n from N	Iutual Fu	and are mo	ore than exp	ected.	-
Below 20	13	10	1	1			
21 – 30	15	21	4	4	12.822	9	0.171
31 – 40	15	13	5	7	12.022		0.1/1
Above 40	26	14	2	2			
	Mut	ual Fund	provide	easy with	drawal facil	ities.	•
Below 20	15	8	1	1			

21 – 30	16	18	7	3	14.354	9	0.110
31 – 40	21	10	6	3			
Above 40	27	10	1	6			
		Mutual F	und prov	ide better	tax benefits.		-1
Below 20	14	9	1	1			
21 – 30	17	17	3	7	8.418	9	0.493
31 – 40	16	12	5	7			
Above 40	22	17	2	3			
	Manage	ement cos	sts charge	ed to the f	unds are reas	onable.	-1
Below 20	13	9	1	2			
21 – 30	16	18	7	3	12.036	9	0.211
31 – 40	17	14	5	4	12.030	,	0.211
Above 40	28	14	1	1			
Mutua	al Fund	provide i	nnovativ	e scheme	s with differe	nt objectives.	-
Below 20	14	10	1	0			
21 – 30	14	21	5	4	13.700	9	0.133
31 – 40	18	14	5	3			
Above 40	23	20	1	0			
Regulator	y bodie	s like SE	BI and o	thers are a	able to contro	ol funds prope	rly.
Below 20	16	8	1	0			
21 – 30	18	14	7	5	21.906	9	0.009
31 – 40	16	13	3	8	21.500		0.007
Above 40	24	19	0	1			
		Griev	ance red	resses are	effective.		-1
Below 20	15	8	1	1			
21 – 30	13	21	7	3	11.257	9	0.258
31 – 40	17	14	4	5	11.237		0.236
Above 40	22	17	4	1]		
	Muti	ual Fund	are healt	hy for Inc	lian environn	nent.	•
Below 20	14	10	1	0			
21 – 30	13	21	6	4	11.006	9	0.275
31 – 40	16	15	4	5			

Above 40	21	18	1	4								
Mutual Fund have deeper discount network												
Below 20	12	10	2	1								
21 – 30	13	22	7	2	12.160	9	0.204					
31 – 40	17	14	3	6	12.100		0.204					
Above 40	23	17	2	2								
	Info	rmation	on Mutua	al Fund is	easily avail	able.						
Below 20	15	9	1	0								
21 – 30	19	12	6	7	17.133	9	0.047					
31 – 40	16	13	4	7	17.133		0.047					
Above 40	22	20	1	1								

From the above table it can interpret that, the P-Value which are greater than 0.05 where all the factor which is rejected and another factor which is less than 0.05 which is accepted. So there is no relationship between all factors.

Represents the relationship between respondents of Education qualification.

Demographic	Give	your pr	eference	towards	Chi – Square		
Factor	mutua	l fui	nds	decision*			
	Educa	tion Qua	lification	l			
	SA	A	N	DA	χ2	Df	P-
							Value
Mutual Funds a	re usefu	l for sma	all invest	ors.			•
SSC	13	1	0	0			
HSC	27	5	1	2			
Graduate	39	16	8	2	13.517	12	0.333
Post Graduate	18	6	5	0			
Other	8	1	1	0			
ľ	Mutual	Fund give	es higher	return tha	n other inv	estments.	
SSC	10	3	0	1			
HSC	19	12	4	0	13.294	12	0.348
Graduate	25	30	5	5	13.27	12	0.540
Post Graduate	14	10	1	4			

Other	5	4	0	1					
	<u> </u> Mutual	Fund wi	th large o	corpus of t	fund perfor	m better.			
SSC	10	4	0	0					
HSC	21	13	1	0					
Graduate	25	26	8	6	16.519	12	0.169		
Post Graduate	15	9	1	4					
Other	6	3	0	1					
Public Sect	or Mutu	al Fund	are more	secured th	nan private	sector Mutual	Fund.		
SSC	10	2	1	1					
HSC	19	14	2	0	_				
Graduate	28	27	7	3	14.331	12	0.280		
Post Graduate	16	8	2	3					
Other	7	1	2	0	_				
Public Sector Mutual Fund performs better.									
SSC	11	2	0	1					
HSC	18	17	0	0					
Graduate	24	28	5	8	27.012	12	0.008		
Post Graduate	13	9	2	5	27.012	12	0.000		
Other	5	1	0	4					
Mutual I	Fund ha	ve better	professio	onal exper	tise than in	dividual inves	tor.		
SSC	12	2	0	0					
HSC	14	18	1	2					
Graduate	25	25	12	3	27.552	12	0.006		
Post Graduate	14	11	0	4					
Other	3	4	1	2					
Investr	nent in	equity ma	arket thro	ough Mutu	ual Fund red	duces risk leve	1.		
SSC	11	2	0	1					
HSC	20	14	0	1					
Graduate	26	27	7	5	15.887	12	0.196		
Post Graduate	16	9	2	2					
Other	5	2	1	2					
Return from Mutual Fund are more than expected.									

SSC	10	3	1	0			
HSC	17	17	1	0			
Graduate	28	24	7	6	26.265	12	0.010
Post Graduate	13	9	3	4			
Other	1	5	0	4			
	Mut	tual Fund	provide	easy with	drawal facil	ities.	<u> </u>
SSC	9	3	1	1			
HSC	21	11	2	1			
Graduate	29	22	9	5	9.477	12	0.662
Post Graduate	14	8	3	4			
Other	6	2	0	2			
		Mutual F	Fund prov	ide better	tax benefits	h.	
SSC	10	2	0	2			
HSC	17	16	2	0			
Graduate	26	24	7	8		12	
Post Graduate	11	11	2	5	17.285		0.139
Other	5	2	0	3			
	Manage	ement cos	sts charge	ed to the f	unds are rea	sonable.	
SSC	11	2	0	1			
HSC	17	17	0	1			
Graduate	27	24	9	5		12	
Post Graduate	11	11	4	3	20.195	12	0.063
Other	8	1	1	0			
Mutua	al Fund	provide i	nnovativ	e schemes	s with differen	ent objectives.	
SSC	10	4	0	0			
HSC	14	20	1	0			
Graduate	29	24	9	3	16.522	10	0.169
Post Graduate	12	12	2	3	16.533	12	0.168
Other	4	5	0	1			
Regulator	ry bodie	s like SE	BI and o	thers are a	ble to contr	ol funds prope	rly.
SSC	11	3	0	0	16 121		0.105
HSC	19	14	0	2	16.131		0.185
I	I]	l			

Graduate	28	21	7	9		12	
Post Graduate	10	13	3	3			
Other	6	3	1	0			
		Griev	ance red	resses are	effective.		
SSC	11	2	1	0			
HSC	15	18	0	2			
Graduate	24	27	9	5	17.068	12	0.147
Post Graduate	11	11	5	2			
Other	6	2	1	1			
	Muti	ual Fund	are healt	hy for Inc	lian environ	ment.	ı
SSC	10	3	0	1			
HSC	14	18	2	1			
Graduate	22	30	4	9	19.823	12	0.071
Post Graduate	14	10	5	0	19.823	12	0.071
Other	4	3	1	2			
	M	lutual Fu	nd have	deeper dis	scount netw	ork	1
SSC	11	3	0	0			
HSC	12	18	1	4			
Graduate	22	30	10	3	20.085	12	0.065
Post Graduate	14	9	3	3			
Other	6	3	0	1			
	Info	rmation	on Mutu	al Fund is	easily avai	lable.	ı
SSC	10	4	0	0			
HSC	16	15	1	3			
Graduate	26	23	7	9	13.102	12	0.362
Post Graduate	13	9	4	3			
Other	7	3	0	0			

From the above table it can interpret that, the P-Value which are greater than 0.05 where all the factor which is rejected and another factor which is less than 0.05 which is accepted. So there is no relationship between all factors.

Represents the relationship between respondents of occupation.

Demographic	Give	your pr	eference	towards	Chi – Square		
Factor	mutua	l fur	nds d	lecision.*			
	Occup	ation					
	SA	A	N	DA	χ2	Df	P-
							Value
Mutual Funds a	re usefu	ıl for sma	all invest	ors.			
Student	32	14	6	2			
Businessman	35	3	2	2	11.744	9	0.228
House Wife	17	4	2	0	- 11./ 44		0.228
Professional	21	8	5	0	-		
1	Mutual	Fund giv	es higher	return tha	n other inv	estments.	·
Student	20	26	4	4			
Businessman	27	9	3	3	8.992	9	0.438
House Wife	11	9	1	2	0.992		
Professional	15	15	2	2			
	Mutual	Fund wi	th large o	corpus of f	und perfori	m better.	
Student	24	22	3	5			
Businessman	24	15	1	2	9.147	9	0.424
House Wife	15	4	3	1	7.147		0.424
Professional	14	14	3	3			
Public Sect	or Mutu	ial Fund	are more	secured th	an private	sector Mutual	Fund.
Student	24	21	6	3			
Businessman	25	11	3	3			
House Wife	13	8	2	0	4.682	9	0.861
Professional	18	12	3	1			
	Pı	ublic Sec	tor Mutu	al Fund pe	erforms bet	ter.	l
Student	21	23	4	6			
Businessman	21	13	0	8	9.100	9	
House Wife	12	8	2	1		7	0.428
Professional	17	13	1	3			
Mutual I	Fund ha	ve better	professio	onal exper	tise than in	dividual invest	or.
Student	20	23	7	4			

Businessman	22	14	3	3	5.418	9	0.796
House Wife	11	8	3	1			
Professional	15	15	1	3			
Investr	nent in	equity m	arket thr	ough Mut	ual Fund redu	ices risk leve	el.
Student	22	22	5	5			
Businessman	25	13	1	3	6.884	9	0.649
House Wife	14	6	1	2	0.004		0.049
Professional	17	13	3	1			
	Retui	n from N	Autual F	and are m	ore than expe	ected.	
Student	21	25	4	4			
Businessman	22	12	3	5	6.636	9	0.675
House Wife	10	11	1	1	0.030		0.073
Professional	16	10	4	4			
	Mut	ual Fund	provide	easy with	drawal facili	ties.	
Student	22	23	6	3			
Businessman	24	9	1	8		9	
House Wife	16	2	4	1	22.293		0.008
Professional	17	12	4	1			
		Mutual F	Fund prov	ide better	tax benefits.		
Student	24	20	3	7			
Businessman	23	11	3	5	6.386	9	0.701
House Wife	8	11	3	1	0.380		0.701
Professional	14	13	2	5			
	Manage	ement cos	sts charge	ed to the f	unds are reas	onable.	
Student	21	23	5	5			
Businessman	24	13	4	1	9.250	9	0.409
House Wife	14	7	2	0	8.359		0.498
Professional	15	12	3	4			
Mutua	al Fund	provide i	nnovativ	e scheme	s with differe	nt objectives	S.
Student	19	28	5	2			
Businessman	24	14	1	3	9.629	9	0.381
House Wife	12	8	3	0			

Professional	14	15	3	2			
Regulator	ry bodie	s like SE	BI and o	thers are a	ble to contr	ol funds prope	rly.
Student	27	17	7	3		9	
Businessman	22	16	2	2	11.804		0.225
House Wife	11	7	0	5			0.223
Professional	14	14	2	4			
		Griev	ance red	resses are	effective.		
Student	23	21	6	4			
Businessman	20	17	4	1	8.521	9	0.483
House Wife	13	8	2	0	0.321		0.463
Professional	11	14	4	5		1	
	Muti	ual Fund	are healt	hy for Ind	ian environ	ment.	
Student	22	24	4	4			
Businessman	22	13	3	4	4.957	9	0.838
House Wife	10	9	2	2	4.937		0.636
Professional	10	18	3	3			
	M	lutual Fu	nd have	deeper dis	count netwo	ork	
Student	21	24	7	2			
Businessman	22	16	2	2			0.497
House Wife	11	7	2	3	8.370	9	
Professional	11	16	3	4			
	Info	rmation	on Mutua	al Fund is	easily avail	able.	
Student	26	17	6	5			
Businessman	21	16	2	3	4 15 4	0	0.001
House Wife	10	7	2	4	4.154	9	0.901
Professional	15	14	2	3			

From the above table it can interpret that, the P-Value which are greater than 0.05 where all the factor which is rejected and another factor which is less than 0.05 which is accepted. So there is no relationship between all factors.

Conclusion

A mutual fund is a trust that team up the saving of number of investors who share a common economic goal. They are investment vehicles and one can use them to invest in assets such as equities of fixed income. It is managed by professional fund managers. It provides risk diversification benefits of making investments in mutual fund are reduction of risk, liquidity, affordability, convenience flexibility and variety. Customer has to identify the best mutual fund management companies and also the suitable schemes among the various schemes floated by mutual fund decision.

Mutual fund is still and would continue to be the unique financial tool in the country. One has to appreciate the fact that every aspects of life as its periods of high and lows. Mutual fund has not failed in any country where they worked with regulatory frame work. The future is bright. The poor performance of many mutual funds schemes may be mostly attributed to the quality of personal involved and their matter of fund management.

In conclusion, it is important to remember the main purpose for initiating the project to analyse the investor perception towards mutual fund decision and the aspect to guide for the investment decision.

Bibliography

Priyanka Sharma and PayalAgrawal (2015), "Investors Perception and Attitude towards Mutual Fund as an Investment Option", Journal of Business Management and Social Sciences Research (JBM & SSR), Vol. 4, No.2.

Rathnamani, V. (2013), "Investors PreferencesTowards Mutual Fund Industry in Trichy", Journal of Business and Management, Vol. 6.

Gupta, A (2000)," Investment Performance of Indian Mutual Funds: An Empirical Study", Finance India, Vol.XIV, No.3, pp.833-866. Mishra (1987) "The Dawn of Mutual Funds: Exciting Investment Opportunities Ahead" Journal of commerce, September, pp.12-18.

PreetiKhitoliya (2014), "Investors Awareness and Perceived Risk Attitude Towards Mutual Fund: An Empirical Study in Delhi", International Journal of Commerce, Business and Management (IICBM), ISSN: 2319-2828, Vol. 3, No. 3.

Aggarwal, N and Gupta, M (2007) "Performance of Mutual Funds in India: An Empirical Study", The Icfai Journal of Applied Finance, Vol.13, No.9, pp.5-16.

D.Senthil&Dr. M. Syed Zafar. (2005). Mutual fundInvestors perceptions and realities. Organizational Management, XXI (2), 5-7.