

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A STUDY ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION TOWARDS INDIANA TECHNICAL CERAMICS

Jenish Patel¹, Dr Manisha Surti²

¹Student, B.V Patel Institute of Management, UKA Tarsadia University

ABSTRACT

The study of ceramic Product is conducted with a focus on product quality aimed at determining whether customer satisfaction is related to the types of product quality in terms of design, packaging, storage and reliability. An initial survey to identify customer satisfaction on ceramic products of ITC was conducted using quantitative methods and followed by analyzed questionnaire forms distributed to one hundred respondents. Based on the analysis, customers who have ever purchased Ceramic products have shown to indicate that product quality typically affects customer satisfaction. Therefore, researcher concludes that the better the quality of ceramic products, the more chances it would be to achieve customer satisfaction.

Keywords: Ceramic, Customer Satisfaction, Product Quality

1. INTRODUCTION

Ceramic is one of the oldest arts in the world and the earliest traditional craft. Ceramic products are well-known with their variety of shape and size as well as the good quality of design. Ceramic products can be found anywhere from daily life product to beautiful design product use for decorating house. The quality of products cannot be separated from the look itself, since it is the possibly the first impression to be captured by customers. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze what exactly the customers expect for ceramic products in term of product quality to meet the customer satisfaction. Generally, ceramics are made from the mixture of clay, powder and water that have been shaped into desired design. The next process is the ceramic that have been shaped is tired in an oven with high temperature

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Raju et al., (2009). Loyal brand users do not only spread positive word of mouth about their preferred brand as well as defending the brand in arguments and discussions, but also often talk competitive brands down. This suggests that brand loyalty to one brand not only influence the brand positively, but also possibly have negative effects on the competitive brands.

Authors Son K. et al. (2010) Described brand loyalty as "a deeply held commitment to re-buy or re-patronize a preferred product/service consistently in the future, causing a repetitive same brand or same brand-set purchasing, despite situational influences and marketing efforts having the potential to cause switching behavior."

Khan Academy, (2014) Pottery was part of everyday life, and everyone knew what they were used for and what the pictures on them meant. Ceramic vases used for utilitarian purposes in the home. Some vases were decorated with variety of design such as motive, figures and patterns, others were plain and painted black all over, and unpainted

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Objectives

- To know customers satisfaction on the quality of products offered by Indiana Technical Ceramics.
- To know the various factors, which influence customer satisfaction for Indiana Technical Ceramics

Area of study - The study is restricted to Surat City

Sample design- For the purpose of the study, 100 questionnaires were collected from the respondents who purchased products of ceramic products at ITC

²Assistant Professor, B.V Patel Institute of Management, UKA Tarsadia University

Hypothesis

H0: There is no significant relationship between Age and level of satisfaction for the ceramic products at ITC

H1: There is a significant relationship between Age and level of satisfaction for the ceramic products at IT

Demographics:

Variable	Frequency	Percent (%)		
Gender (n=100)				
Male	69	69.0		
Female	31	31.0		
Age (n=100)				
<20	19	19.0		
21-30	57	57.0		
31-40	13	13.0		
41-50	11	11.0		
Occupation (n=100)				
Retailer	41	41.0		
Wholesale	20	20.0		
Manufacturer	39	39.0		

Data Analysis

Demographic		Level	of satisfaction	Chi Square				
Gender	1	2	3	4	5	χ2	Df	p-value
Г	Time taken to p	process your ord	der			1		
Male	6	1	8	43	11	3.471a	4	.482
Female	2	0	5	15	9			
A	Accurate proce	ssing of your o	rder		1	1		'
Male	2	4	10	36	17	2.364ª	4	.669
Female	1	2	8	12	8			
I	nformation pro	ovided about th	e status of your or	der				
Male	4	2	9	37	17	10.251a	4	.036
Female	0	5	6	10	10			
(Courteous and	friendly nature	of our representat	ive	1	1	- 1	1
Male	6	0	13	33	17	5.511a	4	.239
Female	3	1	6	9	12	-		

	Knowledge o	f our representati	ve					
Male	3	2	9	38	17	2.397ª	4	.663
Female	1	3	5	15	7			
	Responsivene	ess of our compar	ny to your require	ements				
Male	3	2	9	38	17	2.397ª	4	.663
Female	1	3	5	15	7			
	The quality of	f our services and	d products					
Male	4	4	7	38	16	1.053a	4	.902
Female	1	1	4	16	9			
	Overall, how	satisfied are you	with our services	and products			'	
Male	4	4	5 4	12 13	4.441a	4	.350	
Female	2	1 5	j 1	13 10	•			

Interpretation: From the above table indicate the relationship between customer satisfaction factors and Gender. Since P-value of all demographic variable is greater than 0.05 thus, null hypothesis is accepted, except Information provided about the status of your order. So, it can be interpreted that there is no relationship between various variable and Gender except Information provided about the status of your order

Demographic		Le	vel of satisfac	Chi Square				
Age	1	2	3	4	5	χ2	Df	p-value
	Time taken	to process yo	our order	'	1			
<20	3	0	0	12	4			
21-30	5	1	7	30	14	23.779ª	12	.022
31-40	0	0	6	7	0			.022
41-50	0	0	0	9	2	-		
	Accurate pro	ocessing of y	our order	I				
<20	0	2	3	9	5			
21-30	3	4	9	22	19	18.295a	12	107
31-40	0	0	5	8	0	18.293*		.107
41-50	0	0	1	9	1	-		
	Information	provided ab	out the status	of your order	I			
<20	1	3	0	8	7			

						_		
21-30	3	4	9	23	18	17.740	10	124
31-40	0	0	4	9	0	- 17.742ª	12	.124
41-50	0	0	2	7	2			
(Courteous a	and friendly na	ture of our rep	resentative				
<20	0	3	2	9	5			
21-30	4	1	12	18	22	27.016 ^a	12	.008
31-40	0	0	3	10	0	27.010	12	.008
41-50	0	0	0	8	3	_		
I	Knowledge	of our represe	ntative					
<20	3	0	5	5	6			
21-30	6	1	7	23	20	15.817a	12	.200
31-40	0	0	5	8	0	15.81/*	12	.200
41-50	0	0	2	6	3	-		
Re	sponsivene	ss of our comp	oany to your re	quirements				
<20	0	3	2	10	4			
21-30	4	2	8	27	16	-	12	.356
31-40	0	0	3	9	1	_ 13.187ª		
41-50	0	0	1	7	3	-		
Th	e quality of	f our services a	and products					
<20	1	2	1	11	4			
21-30	4	3	5	25	20	17.021 ^a	12	.149
31-40	0	0	3	10	0	17.021	12	.149
41-50	0	0	2	8	1	-		
Ov	erall, how	satisfied are yo	ou with our ser	vices and pro	oducts		1	1
<20	1	2	2	10	4			
21-30	5	3	6	26	17	15.298ª	12	.226
31-40	0	0	3	10	0			
41-50	0	0	0	9	2			

Interpretation: From the above table indicate the relationship between customer satisfaction factors and Age. Since P-value of all demographic variable is greater than 0.05 thus, null hypothesis is accepted, except Time taken to process your order and courteous and friendly nature of our representative. So, it can be interpreted that there is no relationship between various variable and Age except Time taken to process your order and courteous and friendly nature of our representative

Demographic		Level o	f satisfaction	Chi Square				
Nature of your	1	2	3	4	5	χ2	Df	p-value
Time	taken to proc	ess your orde	er	1				
Retailer	5	0	4	23	9			- 1.0
Wholesaler	2	1	4	11	2	8.933ª	8	.348
Manufacturer	1	0	5	24	9			
Accur	ate processin	g of your ord	er					
Retailer	2	3	4	19	13			
Wholesaler	0	3	6	8	3	11.713ª	8	.164
Manufacturer	1	0	8	21	9			
Inforn	nation provid	ed about the	status of you	r order				
Retailer	3	1	5	20	12	16.552a	8	.035
TT 1 1		-		10				
Wholesaler	0	5	2	10	3			
Manufacturer	1	1	8	17	12			
Courte	eous and frie	ndly nature o	f our represe	ntative	I			
Retailer	3	2	3	17	16	13.792ª	8	.087
Wholesaler	0	2	5	11	2	10.772		1007
Manufacturer	1	0	9	17	12			
Know	ledge of our	representativ	e					
Retailer	6	0	8	14	13			
Wholesaler	2	1	4	6	7	11.342ª	8	.183
Manufacturer	1	0	7	22	9			
Respo	nsiveness of	our company	to your requ	irements	1		1	<u> </u>
Retailer	2	2	5	20	12			
Wholesaler	1	2	3	11	3	3.359ª	8	.910

Manufacturer	1	1	6	22	9

The quality of our services and products										
Retailer	4	2	2	20	13	12 (22)	0	002		
Wholesaler	0	3	3	11	3	- 13.623ª	8	.092		
Manufacturer	1	0	6	23	9					
Overs	all, how satis	fied are you w	vith our servic	ces and produc	ets					
Retailer	3	3	3	20	12	0.0520	0	420		
Wholesaler	2	2	3	10	3	8.052ª	8	.428		
Manufacturer	1	0	5	25	8					

Interpretation: From the above table indicate the relationship between customer satisfaction factors. Since P-value of all demographic variable is greater than 0.05 thus, null hypothesis is accepted, except Information provided about the status of your order. So, it can be interpreted that there is no relationship between various variable and Nature of your work except Information provided about the status of your order

4. FINDINGS

Out of all the respondents 69% is male and 31% is female. Out of all the respondents19% are below 20 years, 57% are between 21-30, 13% are between 31-40, 11% are in between 41-50. 41% of respondents are retailer, 20% of them are wholesaler and 39% of them are manufacturer. Majority of the population is most likely to recommend itc to others. There is no relationship between various variable and gender except information provided about the status of your order. There is no relationship between various variable and age except time taken to process your order and courteous and friendly nature of our representative. There is no relationship between various variable and nature of your work except information provided about the status of your order.

5. CONCLUSION

The study concludes that, customer of ITC shows maximum satisfaction in respect to quality of the products, quality of the service, credit limit, pricing, timely delivery and understanding of consumer's requirements, The factors responsible for customer satisfaction are Time taken to process your order, Accurate processing of your order, Information provided about the status of your order, courteous and friendly nature of our representative, Knowledge of our representative, Responsiveness of our company to your requirements and The quality of our services and products, Most of respondents agree that they are ready to recommend ITC to others

REFERENCE

- [1] Biesok, G., & Wyrod-Wrobel, J. (2011). Customer Satisfaction Meaning and Methods of Measuring. In G. Biesok, & J. Wyrod-Wrobel (Eds.), Marketing and Logistic Problems in the Management of Organization (pp. 23-41). Wydawnictwo Naukowe Akademii Techniczno-Humanistycznej w Bielsku-Bialej. References Scientific Research PublishingDr. M. Rajasekhar (2016)
- [2] Khadka, K., & Maharjan, S. (2017). Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty. Centria University of Applied Sciences. References Scientific Research PublishingKhan Academy, (2014)
- [3] Customer satisfaction analysis model (Khadka & Maharjan, 2017)Spacey (2017)
- [4] Service Quality & Customer Satisfaction A case study in Ceramic Sector
- [5] Online Consumer Satisfaction During COVID-19: Perspective of a Developing Country
- [6] Ahmad, W., Kim, W. G., Choi, H. M., and Ul Haq, J. (2021). Modeling behavioral intention to use travel reservation apps: a cross-cultural examination between US and China. J. Retail. Consum. Serv. 63:102689. doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102689
- [7] Alharthey, B. (2020). The role of online trust in forming online shopping intentions. Int. J. Online Market. 10, 32–57. doi: 10.4018/IJOM.2020010103

- [8] Anderson, E. W., and Sullivan, M. W. (1993). The antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction for firms. Market. Sci. 12, 125–143.doi: 10.1287/mksc.12.2.125
- [9] Roseman, I. J. (2013). Author reply: on the frontiers of appraisal theory. Emot. Rev. 5, 187–188. doi: 10.1177/1754073912469592