

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A STUDY ON IMPACT OF HUMAN RESOURCE FOR GAINING COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE AT RAJHANS NUTRIMENTS PVT LTD

Seriesh Pandey¹, Dr. Anuradha Pathak²

^{1,2}Student of BBA, B.V.Patel Institute of ManagementUka Tarsadia University,Maliba Campus, Bardoli. serishpandey8402@gmail.com¹, anuradha.pathak@utu.ac.in²

ABSTRACT

For this research study, Data are collected from the questionnaire which were being filled up by the employees who were working in the organization. After collecting the data through questionnaire, I have come to know about which factors contributed mostly in the impact of human resource for gaining competitive advantage in the organization. In the organization, majority of employees are male, married and belong to young age group. Most of employees were believe that HR is well represented within a company and having a human resource department is essential for a company success. Most of employees think that they frequently need to contact HR. Most of employees also believe that human resource is a crucial source of competitive advantage (CA) for a company and HR department helps a firm accomplish a competitive advantage. This study also found that most of respondents believe their company's real value is its people, and that the company makes efforts to retain its employees. So from the findings I can conclude that the majority of respondents strongly believe that a company can succeed only if its human resource gives maximum contribution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rajhans Nutriments Pvt Ltd. is a chocolate manufacturing company is located in Surat, Gujarat and has its presence all over India with its regional/branch offices. It is engaged into manufacturing of premium chocolate which are marketed under the brand name, Schmitten Chocolates (Premium Swiss molded chocolate) and Hoppits (Premium chocolate bars) with an average installed capacity of chocolate production is 25 tonnes per day.

Organizations are operating in a dynamic and competitive environment. They need to create and sustain a competitive advantage if they want to survive and grow. A traditional source of competitive advantage has been eroded. Now it is believed that productivity is through people. People provide an organization with a source of competitive advantage. Various studies have concluded that an organization's human resources can be a significant source of competitive advantage. The human factor is the only organizational resource that can develop a competitive advantage. Competitive advantage means positioning your company ahead of other companies in order to achieve superiority in quality, low cost, value or innovation. Regardless of what it means to a company, it may be the single factor to attaining success and business sustainability. There are many strategies that contribute to achieving a competitive advantage, but maximizing your human capital is a major contributor to achieving a competitive edge in the marketplace.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Jay B. Barney and Patrick Wright, (1998) had conducted a study "On Becoming A Strategic Partner: The Role Of Human Resource In Gaining Competitive Advantage". Despite managers' claims that human resources are a company most valuable asset, many organisational decisions do not reflect this belief. This study examines the importance of th3 Human Resource (HR) function in establishing a sustainable competitive advantage using th3 VRIO (value, rareness, imitability, and organisation) paradigm. They examine why some commonly cited sources of sustainable competitive advantage aren't, as well aa what components of a company's human resource can provide such a source. They also look at the HR executive's position as a strategic partner in the company's development and maintenance of competitive advantage.

Randall S. Schuler and Ian C MacMillian, (2006) had conducted a study on "Gaining Competitive Advantage Through Human Resource Practices". Gaining and maintaining competitive advantage is critical to a corporation's growth and prosperity. Although companies can use a variety of approaches to achieve this goal, one that is sometimes overlooked is focusing on outstanding human resource management. Many businesses are recognising the growing importance of their human resources, but few are thinking about them strategically-in terms of how to achieve a competitive advantage, As a result, many businesses miss out on the chance to gain a competitive advantage by implementing human resource practises. They are, however, a few businesses that do not pass up the possibility, They use them as examples of how to use a "target/thrust matrix" final conclusions have important ramifications for company strategy as well as personnel and human resources.

Mehran Hajilou, (2015) had conducted a study "On Effect Of The Strategic Approach To Human Resource Management In Businesses Performance And Gaining Competitive Advantage: A Study Case In Southwest Airlines". Under these conditions; Human resource researchers have focused on critical problems throughout the last few decades. To begin, what motivates companies to take a strategic approach to human resource management and how are human resource plan developed? Is there a link between internal and external characteristics and implementing strategic human resource management? The distinction between strategic human resource management and human resource strategies is addressed in this article, which begins with a description of related ideas in human resource management. After all, the factors that make Southwest Airline efficient, as well as the company's strategic approach to human resource management, have been investigated. The outcomes of this company show that having a clear strategic direction and cultivating a company culture where everyone is committed to success are essential.

Randall S. Schuler and Susan E. Jackson, (1987) had conducted a study on "Facilitating Entrepreneurship To Gain Competitive Advantage: Implications For Human Resource Management Practices". Firms are increasingly attempting to establish and apply entrepreneurial strategies in order to improve their prospects of getting a competitive edge and being effective under these conditions,' What impact does the use of entrepreneurial strategies have on human resource management practises?' is a critical question raised by these efforts, Our findings imply that pursuing a high risk, high reward strategy can have a big impact on HRM practises.

Joachim Block and Stefan Wolfgang Pickl, (2014) had conducted a study on "Human Resource Model For Performance Optimization To Gain Competitive Advantage". Human resources are one of a company's most valuable assets under these circumstances. This is especially true in the ongoing information era, as blue collar to white collar job is transitioning. Implementing efficient and effective human resource management (HRM) practises can lead to a long-term competitive advantage and contribute to an impact on organisational performance, the processes at work are largely unknown, They give a holistic representation of an organization's human resources. They combined two traditionally seperate domains of HRM research: the micro and macro perspectives, using system dynamics and agent-based modelling and simulation. The preliminary numerical simulation findings point to a promising future.

3. METHODOLOGY

Objectives

- To study demographic profile of human resource of the company.
- To study the factors influencing human resource for gaining competitive advantage in the organization.
- To study whether company makes efforts to make human resource effective for gaining competitive advantage.

Sample Size

• The sample of 100 employees is selected from the company for a research study.

Data Collection Method

• Here, for this research study, Survey Data Collection method is used for the study.

Research Instrument:

The data has been tabulated and suitable statistical tools such as percentages and averages have been used for the analysis of data. Also the statistical tools such as mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness have been applied. The Mann-Whitney test and Kruskal Wallis Test has also been applied to find out the significance of differences (at 0.05 levels) wherever applicable.

4. DEMOGRAPHIC DETAILS OF RESPONDENTS

Any study is incomplete without a detailed outline of demographic profile of respondents. Keeping in mind this fact, an effort has been made to seek background information of respondents.

Frequencies:



74% of respondents are male and 26% of respondents are female in a Rajhans Nutriments Pvt Ltd. So, It can be interpreted that majority of the employees are male in a company. 9% of respondents are from the age group of Below 20, 41% of respondents are from the age group of 21-30, 30% of respondents are from the age group of 31-40, and 20% of respondents are from the age group of 40 Above respectively. So, It can be interpreted that majority of the employees belongs to youth and adult age group. 16% of respondents did Diploma, 44% of respondents did graduate, 33% of respondents did post graduate and 7% of respondents did above post graduate. So, It can be interpreted that majority of the employees are graduated and literate. 64% of respondents are married and 36% of respondents are unmarried. So, It can be interpreted that majority of the employees are married in a company. 17% of respondents are having less than 1 year of work experience, 23% of respondents are having 1 - 3 years of work experience, 31% of respondents are having 3 - 5 years of work experience. Thus, It can be interpreted that majority of the employees are having 3 - 5 years of work experience.

CROSS TABULATION

Age Gro	up * Work Expe	erience Cross Ta	bulation		
	Cor	unt			
		Work Experience	ce		
Less than 1 year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	5 - 7 years	More than 7 years	Total

	Below 20	9	0	0	0	0	9
Age	21 - 30	7	22	9	3	0	41
Group	31 - 40	1	1	22	4	2	30
	40 Above	0	0	0	4	16	20
	Total	17	23	31	11	18	100

Organization has maintain the good combination of experience and Age. From above, we can analyse that most of employees have experience of up to 5 years and other senior employers have experience of more than 5 years.

	Opinion Regarding Representation of HR in the Company												
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent								
Valid	Yes	95	95.0	95.0	95.0								
	No	5	5.0	5.0	100.0								
	Total	100	100.0	100.0									

In the above table; the frequency for positive response is 95. Hence, It means that 95% of the respondents believe that HR is well represented within their company. The frequency of negative response is 5. Hence, It means that 5% of the respondents were not believe that HR is well represented within their company. From this we can interpret that majority of respondents believe that HR is well represented within their company.

	Opinion about Necessity of Having a human resources department for Company's success												
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent								
Valid	Yes	93	93.0	93.0	93.0								
	No	7	7.0	7.0	100.0								
	Total	100	100.0	100.0									

In the above table; the frequency of positive response is 93. Hence, It means that 93% of the respondents believe that having a human resource department is essential for a company's success. The frequency of negative response is 7. Thus, It shows that 7% of the respondents not believe that having a human resource department is essential for a company's success. From this we can interpret that majority of respondents believe that having a human resource department is essential for a company's success.

	Opinion of Employees Regarding Frequency of Contacting HR Department												
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent								
Valid	Rarely	9	9.0	9.0	9.0								
	Frequently	73	73.0	73.0	82.0								

Occasionally	18	18.0	18.0	100.0
Total	100	100.0	100.0	

In the above table; the frequency of rarely is 9. Thus, It means that 9% of respondents think that they rarely need to contact HR. The frequency of frequently is 73. Hence it means that 73% of respondents think that they frequently need to contact HR. The frequency of occasionally is 18. Thus, It shows that 18% of respondents think that they occasionally need to contact HR in the organization.

	Opinion Regarding HR as a Crucial Source for Competitive Advantage												
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent								
Valid	Yes	90	90.0	90.0	90.0								
	No	10	10.0	10.0	100.0								
	Total	100	100.0	100.0									

In the above table; the frequency of positive response is 90. Thus, It shows that 90% of respondents believe that Human resource(HR) is a crucial source of competitive advantage(CA) for a company. The frequency of negative response is 10. Hence, It means that 10% of respondents believe that Human resource(HR) is not a crucial source of competitive advantage (CA) for a company

	Opinion	Regarding Contribution	on of HR Department	in Gaining Competitive A	dvantage
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	94	94.0	94.0	94.0
	No	6	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

In the above table; the frequency of positive response is 94. Hence, It means that 94% of the respondents believe that having an HR department helps a firm accomplish a competitive advantage. the frequency of negative response is 6. Thus, It shows that 6% of the respondents not believe that having an HR department helps a firm accomplish a competitive advantage.

Analysis and Interpretation

As there are too few cases Reliability Test Can't be applied, hence parametric test has been applied.

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics was applied to do detailed analysis of variables measuring opinion regarding overall satisfaction of employees.

OPINION REGARDING OVERALL SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES.

	Overall satisfaction of employees												
	Ν	V.D	D	N	S	V.S	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis			
Overall satisfaction of employees with Human Resource management of an organization	100	0	7	13	29	51	4.2400	.93333	-1.033	.076			

In the above table; the mean is 4.24. Hence, we can say that the majority of respondents are very satisfied with human resource management of their organization.

The skewness value is -1.033 which is less than -1.0; Hence, It means that the distribution is left skewed and kurtosis value is 0.076 which is less than 3; Thus, it shows that the data set has lighter tails than a normal distribution.

OPINION REGARDING FACTORS INFLUENCING HR FOR GAINING COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE

	Fact	ors that	influenc	e HR for	r gaini	ng con	petitive adv	antage in the org	anization		
	Ν	Е	V.G	G	F	Р	Mean	Std.	Skewness	Kurtosis	Mean
								Deviation			Rank
Human Resource	100	23	47	30	0	0	2.0700	0.72829	-0.108	-1.087	3rd
planning											
Employee empowerment and participation	100	35	30	35	0	0	2.0000	0.84087	0.000	-1.590	4th
Information sharing	100	43	38	19	0	0	1.7600	0.75371	0.430	-1.118	7th
Selective recruiting	100	20	29	51	0	0	2.3100	0.78746	-0.614	-1.119	2nd
Training and development	100	32	38	30	0	0	1.9800	0.79111	0.036	-1.395	5th
Performance appraisal	100	19	39	36	4	2	2.3100	0.89550	0.376	0.275	2nd
Pay/Benefits	100	21	12	61	5	1	2.5300	0.91514	-0.534	-0.345	1st
Recognition and Motivation	100	46	31	22	0	1	1.7900	0.85629	0.815	0.327	6th

The opinions of all the employees regarding the above mention all the influencing factors of HR for gaining Competitive advantage seems to be positive as the mean of all the variables are near 4 out of 5.

The 1st mean rank is of working hours as 79% of employees out of 100 are highly satisfied with working hours. The lower mean rank is of Canteen facility, gets 6th rank as only 18% of employees out of 100 are strongly agreed on it. However, as the responses are >3.5 mean in case of all responses, it can be inferred that overall the responses were positive for all the 9 statements quoted above. The same is supported by mean figures and the figures of skewness. The Figure of Kurtosis supports skewness.

STUDY ON OVERALL IMPACT OF HR FOR GAINING COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE

						Statem	ents				
	N	S.D	D	N'	Α	S.A	Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	Mean Rank
HRP is done very effectively in an organization.	100	2	1	10	35	52	4.3400	0.85540	-1.611	3.350	2 nd
The company encourages employee empowerment and participation.	100	2	1	9	47	41	4.2400	0.81798	-1.489	3.636	5 th
Employees believe in information sharing in a company.	100	2	1	16	38	43	4.1900	0.88415	-1.190	1.838	8 th
Selection process is followed scientifically.	100	2	1	13	48	36	4.1500	0.83333	-1.252	2.689	9 th
T & D is imparted to employees at regular interval.	100	3	1	6	67	23	4.0600	0.77616	-1.824	5.973	11 th
T & D provided to employees is effective in an organization.	100	3	0	17	33	47	4.2100	0.93523	-1.342	2.144	6 th
The company follows performance appraisal system.	100	2	10	10	59	19	3.8300	0.92174	-1.074	1.082	12 th
Performance appraisal is done very scientifically in a company.	100	2	7	12	39	40	4.0800	0.99168	-1.114	0.857	10 th
Employees are adequately recognized for their good work and contributions.	100	2	3	8	35	52	4.3200	0.89758	-1.623	2.953	3 rd
Management think employees of their company are its real asset.	100	3	1	6	42	48	4.3100	0.87265	-1.863	4.634	4 th
Company makes efforts to retain its employees.	100	3	2	10	42	43	4.2000	0.92113	-1.519	2.867	7 th
Company believes that if can succeed only if its HR give maximum contribution.	100	2	1	7	35	55	4.4000	0.82878	-1.847	4.536	1 st

Normality

If the significance value is less than 0.05(< 0.05) then we can say that this is a non parametric test and if the significance value is greater than 0.05 (> 0.05) then we can say it is a parametric test. Here our significance value is less than 0.05(< 0.05) so we have used non parametric tests.

Mann-whitney test

Mann-whitney test has been applied in the present study to find the responses of respondents belonging to different genders.

H0: There is no statistical significant difference in the opinion of respondents belonging to different genders regarding competitive advantage.

H1: There is statistical significant difference in the opinion of respondents belonging to different genders regarding competitive advantage.

	Test statistics			
	Mann- Whitney U	Wilcoxon W	Z	Asymp. Sig. (2- tailed)
Overall satisfaction of employees with Human Resource management of an organization.	933.000	1284.000	-0.249	0.804
Factors that influence HR for gain	ning competitive a	dvantage in the org	anization.	
Human Resource planning	853.500	3628.500	-0.921	0.357
Employee empowerment and participation	962.000	1313.000	0.000	1.000
Information sharing	740.000	1091.000	-1.882	0.060
Selective recruiting	758.500	3533.500	-1.750	0.080
Training and development	910.000	1261.000	-0.434	0.664
Performance appraisal	950.500	1301.500	-0.096	0.924
Pay/Benefits	797.000	3572.000	-1.485	0.137
Recognition and Motivation	943.500	1294.500	-0.157	0.876
	Statements			
HRP is done very effectively in an organization.	797.000	3572.000	-1.436	0.151
The company encourages employee empowerment and participation.	883.000	3658.000	-0.683	0.495
Employees believe in information sharing in a company.	842.000	3617.000	-1.016	0.310
Selection process is followed scientifically.	916.000	1267.000	-0.394	0.693
T & D is imparted to employees at regular interval.	897.500	3672.500	-0.612	0.541

T & D provided to employees is effective in an	843.000	3618.000	-1.011	0.312
organization.				
The company follows performance appraisal system.	891.500	3666.500	-0.625	0.532
Performance appraisal is done very scientifically in a	846.500	3621.500	-0.970	0.332
company.				
Employees are adequately recognized for their good	729.000	3504.000	-2.027	0.043
work and contributions.				
Management think employees of their company are its	850.000	3625.000	-0.975	0.330
real asset.				
Company makes efforts to retain its employees.	672.000	3447.000	-2.478	0.013
	CC0 000	2442.000	2.500	0.000
Company can succeed only if its HR give maximum	668.000	3443.000	-2.599	0.009
contribution.				

The value is not significant in case of all the above questions at 5% level of significance indicting that our null hypothesis is accepted. This indicates that difference in the opinion of respondents belonging to different gender is not significant, but it is by chance.

Kruskal wallis test

Kruskal wallis test has been applied in the present study to find the responses of respondents belonging to different age groups.

H0: There is no statistical significant difference in the opinion of respondents belonging to different age groups regarding competitive advantage.

H1: There is statistical significant difference in the opinion of respondents belonging to different age groups regarding competitive advantage.

Test Statistics					
	Kruskal-Wallis H		Asymp. Sig.		
Overall satisfaction of employees with Human Resource management of an organization.	3.433	3	0.330		
Factors that influence HR for gaining o	competitive advantage in the o	organization.			
Human Resource planning	3.511	3	0.319		
Employee empowerment and participation	10.308	3 3 3 3 3 3	0.016 0.507 0.118 0.120 0.961		
Information sharing	2.329				
Selective recruiting	5.870				
Training and development	5.841				
Performance appraisal	0.297				

D/D	1 700	2	0 (17
Pay/Benefits	1.792	3	0.617
Recognition and Motivation	1.281	3	0.734
Stat	ements		
HRP is done very effectively in an organization.	4.021	3	0.259
The company encourages employee empowerment and participation.	3.959	3	0.266
Employees believe in information sharing in a company.	0.291	3	0.962
Selection process is followed scientifically.	0.358	3	0.949
T & D is imparted to employees at regular interval.	2.414	3	0.491
T & D provided to employees is effective in an organization.	1.076	3	0.783
The company follows performance appraisal system.	0.811	3	0.847
Performance appraisal is done very scientifically in a company.	4.574	3	0.206
Employees are adequately recognized for their good work and contributions.	3.700	3	0.296
Management think employees of their company are its real asset.	6.837	3	0.077
Company makes efforts to retain its employees.	5.401	3	0.145
Company can succeed only if its HR give maximum contribution.	0.919	3	0.821

The value is not significant in case of all the above questions at 5% level of significance indicting that our null hypothesis is accepted. This indicates that difference in the opinion of respondents belonging to different age groups is not significant, but it is by chance.

MAJOR FINDINGS

- 1. In a Rajhans Nutriments Pvt Ltd.; majority of employees are male, married and belong to young age group.
- 2. Majority of employees qualified by graduate and having 3-5 years of work experience
- 3. Most of employees were believe that HR is well represented within their company and having a human resource department is essential for a company success.
- 4. Most of employees think that they frequently need to contact HR.

- 5. Most of employees also believe that human resource is a crucial source of competitive advantage (CA) for a company and HR department helps a firm accomplish a competitive advantage.
- 6. Overall respondents very satisfied with human resource management of their organization.
- 7. In a Rajhans Nutriments Pvt Ltd.; Most of the respondents are just slightly satisfied with human resource planning, employee empowerment and participation, selective recruiting, training and development, performance appraisal, pay/benefits and highly satisfied with information sharing and recognition and motivation.
- 8. Respondents are strongly agree with that employees of their company are its real asset.
- 9. Respondents are agree with that company makes efforts to retain its employees
- 10. Respondents are strongly agree with that company can succeed only if its human resource give maximum contribution.
- 11. There is no significant relation between opinions of respondents and different genders.
- 12. There is no significant relation between opinions of respondents and different age groups.

SUGGESTIONS

- 1) The company should make more efforts to improve its human resource planning.
- The company should improve effectiveness of its training and development cell. It should lay more focus on employee empowerment and their participation.
- 3) The company should work on performance appraisal system to create more positive impact on employees' performance.
- 4) The company should provide better pay/benefits to retain its employees.

5. CONCLUSION

This study shows that human resource are an important aspect for gaining a competitive advantage and they can ultimately help locate, hire, train and maintain a finely tuned and productive workforce, thus help to gain a competitive advantage for a company. Relying on human resource department to recruit the best employees, design appropriate, and effective training programs can help to gain a competitive advantage for a company. While competitors struggle with maintaining an experienced and motivated workforce, these company can focus on productivity and increased sales when the HR department plays an integral role in their workforce department. The majority of respondents believe that HR is well-represented within a company and that having a human resource department is essential for the success of a company. This study also conclude that the company handles such factors like information sharing, recognition and motivation in a professional manner and factors like human resource planning, employee empowerment and participation, selective recruiting, training and development, performance appraisal and pay/benefits in a well manner. This study also found that the majority of respondents believe their company's real value is its people, and that the organization makes attempts to keep them. The majority of respondents strongly believe that a company can succeed only if its human resource gives maximum contribution.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.Coskun Samli & Mehmat Ongan. (2008). The Gaps in retail human resource management: The key to developing competitive advantage.
- [2] Albana Berisha Quheja and Enver Kutlovci. (2015). Role of human resource in gaining comeptitive advantage.
- [3] Amarakoonge Upamali Asanka Amarakoon. (2014). The role of human resource innovation in firms competitive advantage in Australian manufacturing and service firms.
- [4] Biswajeet Pattanayk. (2010). Gaining competitive advantage and business success through strategic HRD: an Indian experience.
- [5] Caroline Njeri Gitau. (2014). The role of strategic human resource management in developing competitive advantage in the telecommunication industry in Kenya.
- [6] Dr. Mrs. Kasthuri Meena and S. Jenifer Janani. (2016). Issues and challenges in gaining competitive advantage in human resource.
- [7] Dr. Muhammad Aslam Memon, Riaz Ahmed Mangi and Dr. Chnadanlal Rohra. (2009). Human capital a source of competitive advantage: Ideas for strategic leadership.
- [8] Fieras Alfawaire and Tarik Atan. (2021). The effect of strategic human resource and knowledge management on sustainable competitive advantage at Jordanian universities: The mediating role or organizational innovation.

- [9] Halbast Hussein Hamadamin and Tarik Atan. (2019). The impact of startegic human resource management practices on competitive advantage sustainability: The mediation of human capital development and employee commitment.
- [10] Irbha Magotra, Shravani Sharma, Dr. Jyoti Sharma. (2015). Developing HR as a core competency: An approach for gaining competitive advantage.
- [11] Jay B. Barney and Patrick Wright. (1998). On becoming a strategic partner: The role of human resource in gaining competitive advantage. Human resource management.
- [12] Joachim Block and Stefan Wolfgang Pickl. (2014). Human resource model for performance optimization to gain competitive advantage.
- [13] Kathy Monks, Finian Buckley, Anne Sinnott. (1996). Gaining competitive advantage through a quality culture: The role of human resource management. DCU Business School.
- [14] Luo Ling and Yang Ji Ji. (2010). A study on use human resource brand to gain competitive advantage.
- [15] Marwan M. Shammot. (2014). The role of human resources management practices represented by employee's recruitment and training and motivating in realization competitive advantage.
- [16] Mehran Hajilou. (2015). On effect of the strategic approach to human resource management in business performance and gaining competitive advantage.
- [17] Mlle. Bouguesri Sarra Assistant Master, Dr. Djilali Benabou, Dr. Habib Tabeti. (2013). The role of strategic human capital management in achieving the competitive advantage.
- [18] NijolėBatarlienė*, Kristina Čižiūnienė, Kristina Vaičiūtė, IngridaŠapalaitė, AldonaJarašūnienė. (2017). The impact of human resource management on the competitiveness of transport companies.
- [19] Patrick M. Wright, Gary C.MacMahan & Abagail Mc Williams. (2006). Human resources and sustained competitive advantage: a resourc based perspective.
- [20] Paul sparrow, Randall S. Schuler & Susan E. Jackson. (2006). Convergence or Divergence: Human Resource practices and policies for competitive advantage worldwide.
- [21] Randall S Schuler and Susan E. Jackson. (1987). Facilitating entrepeneurship to gain competitive advantage: Implications for human resource management practices.
- [22] Randall S. Schuler and Ian C MacMillian. (2006). Gaining competitive advantage through human resource practices. Human Resource Management.
- [23] Shrabani B. Bhattacharjee, Balaram Bhattacharjee. (2015). Competitive advantage through HRM Practices in MSMEs.
- [24] Syed Hussain Haider, Muzaffar Asad., PhD, Mina Fatima. (2017). Responsibility of global corporations towards human resource to attain competitive advantage: A review. Journal of reserach in administrative sciences.
- [25] Tarek Mohamed Ali. (2018). The role of HRIS in gaining a competitive advantage.