



The Perspective of ERP in Senior Education

Mohamed Irfan Shaikh

AFHEA, MMS, B.E, Institute of GEMS

ABSTRACT

Enterprise resource planning (ERP) installation projects are distinctive, expensive, time-consuming, and lead to institutional reform for each organisation. The effects of five key factors on the overall performance of the ERP implementation project were examined in five public and private 4-year institutions of higher education in the Midwest of the United States. These elements included: (1) the establishment and dissemination of objectives, justifications, and expectations; (2) the perceptions of values and benefits held by stakeholders; (3) the use of financial and human resources; (4) the precautions taken to guard against potential drawbacks, pitfalls, and shortcomings; and (5) the steps taken to prepare stakeholders for changes in work functionality. According to the data, every ERP implementation project was a huge success.

INTRODUCTION

In addition to the standard functions of payroll, human resources, and finance, ERP systems in higher education also include more specialised functional activities like advancement, development, student information, enrollment, recruiting, and financial aid, to mention a few. Therefore, enterprise resource planning (ERP) may be broadly characterised as a multi-module packaged software programme or system developed to meet a company's information processing and business process needs. Additionally, ERP makes use of a centralised database to help businesses make better use of their informational, financial, and other resources (Al-Mashari, 2003; Fui-Hoon Nah, Zuckweiler, & LeeShang Lau, 2003; Holsapple & Sena, 2003; Sawyer & Southwick, 2002).

Any organisation using an ERP system has to have clear goals and expectations (Fui-Hoon Nah, et al., 2003; Kvavik & Katz, 2002). However, there are instances of companies failing to completely or partially implement ERP packages into a production capacity even when they follow all the correct procedures to clearly define goals and expectations (Frantz, Southerland, & Johnson, 2002). Companies must consider relevant issues when they establish and outline the objectives and expectations of the implementation project.

Numerous reports of successful ERP adoption initiatives exist. The authors of FuiHoon Nah et al. (2003) and Kvavik and Katz (2002) argue that any institution undertaking an ERP implementation project must have clearly defined objectives, an organisational vision, and business plans, even though there is no one best way to plan, coordinate, and supervise such a project. Despite having clearly defined objectives, an organisational vision, and redesigned business strategies, institutions have not used ERP packages in a production capacity, either fully or partially.

Any organisation using an ERP system has to have clear goals and expectations (Fui-Hoon Nah, et al., 2003; Kvavik & Katz, 2002). However, there are instances of companies failing to completely or partially implement ERP packages into a production capacity even when they follow all the correct procedures to clearly define goals and expectations (Frantz, Southerland, & Johnson, 2002). Companies must consider relevant issues when they establish and outline the objectives and expectations of the implementation project.

METHODS

A successful ERP implementation project is built on a variety of elements. To better understand the factors that affect and influence an ERP implementation project, this research might have been undertaken using a variety of approaches; nevertheless, a multiple case study approach with qualitative and quantitative analysis was used. It is crucial to learn and comprehend the linkages and interconnections between those components, particularly given the importance of leadership and change management. A further opportunity to better grasp what makes an ERP implementation project effective is to discover and comprehend how and why particular variables or components interact with one another and the overall implementation process. As a result, a methodology with both qualitative and quantitative components was used for this study endeavour. Bogdan and Biklen (1997) assert that incorporating the results of each methodology can help researchers collaborate and triangulate their conclusions. The objective of the qualitative and quantitative techniques was to support and validate one another. A qualitative case study is an inquiry and analysis of a particular occurrence (Bogdan & Biklen, 1997; Merriam, 1998). Merriam added that a qualitative case study is the most effective way for examining an intrinsically bounded phenomenon. The ERP implementation project is the focused phenomenon for this numerous case study research project. The researcher's goal is to gather relevant data from those who are most intimately familiar with the actual implementation tasks and outcomes of leadership and change management decisions. A quantitative component was used in conjunction with the qualitative aspect of this research endeavour. Quantitative research designs entail the gathering and statistical analysis of numerical data, according to Fowler (2004). (p.310). The goal of this study project's quantitative technique was

to quantify the variables and aspects related to leadership and change management that have an impact on the deployment of an ERP system. The qualitative information gathered by itself is probably not going to give a complete picture of the elements and aspects influencing an ERP implementation effort. However, a greater understanding of the unique elements influencing the performance of an ERP installation project may be achieved in conjunction with the quantitative data gathered.

RESULTS

Any initiative to adopt an ERP is not guaranteed to be successful. In order to learn more about those certain critical elements that may have a more definite and significant impact on the success of ERP implementation initiatives, this study project was designed. Furthermore, replies that addressed the survey's research questions were the ones that were intended to be targeted. A return rate of 44.9% was achieved by the 74 responders that were chosen from the 165 people who were asked to take part in the study. In Chapter 5, the research project's implications for ERP installations in higher education will be examined and the findings will be analyzed in light of the research questions.

DISCUSSION

ERP system implementation costs have reached the billion dollar mark, and according to several studies (Al-Mashari, 2003; Dong-Gil Ko, Kirsch, & King, 2005; Holsapple & Sena, 2003), this represents the single largest investment made by organisations in the field of technology. Additionally, implementing an ERP system represents one of the single largest financial and human resource investments that higher education institutions may ever undertake (Kvavik & Katz, 2002). A successful completion of the stated objectives, aims, and expectations of the ERP implementation project is the ideal goal and desire of any ERP implementation project. In order to make the difficult decisions that will affect the ongoing operations, structure, and culture of all higher education institutions, access to pertinent and timely data is a need (Fowler & Gilfillan, 2003; Luo & Strong, 2004; Yakovlev, 2002). With technological advancements, it makes sense and is expected that an ERP system will give any firm the platform and foundation it needs to meet data requirements for decision-making. Educational institutions that have already deployed ERP solutions can teach us valuable things. When getting into a contract to properly deploy an ERP system, there is very little opportunity for error. Numerous factors affect the adoption of an ERP system, in accordance with the literature study in Chapter Two. Higher education institutions must commit significant financial and human resources in ERP implementation projects (Dong-Gil Ko et al., 2005; Fui-Hoon Nah, 2003; Haines, 2003). Additionally, the deployment of ERP is highly complicated (Songini, 2003). Virtually no other single event or item has the power to alter organisational culture, norms, and values in higher education as significantly as the introduction of an ERP system (Siau & Mesersmith, 2003). The opportunity to share experiences, lessons learned, and other valuable information with those institutions that have not yet started an ERP implementation project exists when conducting a case study research project using quantitative and qualitative data analysis on several higher education institutions. Additionally, institutions working on ERP installation projects might benefit from focusing on particular elements that may have a greater impact on the project's overall performance. Based on the data acquired from the literature review done in Chapter Two, research questions were developed. The relationship between particular factors and the success of ERP implementation initiatives in higher education was the subject of five study topics. From January 2006 to October 2007, five ERP implementation projects in 4-year higher education institutions, both public and private, provided input for the research project. On the process, methodology, and overall success of the ERP implementation project, several aspects were shown to have a higher influence. The method for getting the data was a survey. The survey was composed of a number of open-ended and Likert-style questions using a 5-point scale. A quantitative and qualitative review of the data yielded a survey return rate of 44.9%. The link and effects of important elements on the general effectiveness of the ERP implementation project were all the focus of the five study topics. Each institution taking part in this research endeavour declared the installation of their ERP to be successful. Because the research questions are predicated on the performance of the ERP implementation initiatives at each institution, it was crucial to confirm whether these projects were successful. Therefore, a number of survey questions were designed to gauge and validate the project's performance in implementing the ERP. The data for Research Question 1 showed that the implementation teams and the campus community were successfully informed about the project's objectives, ambitions, and expectations. The objectives, justifications, and expectations that were laid out to the campus community for participating in the ERP implementation project included business process automation, better and faster access to data, better service for students, thorough system integration, improved accuracy and a central database, and the use of new technology, in the respondents' opinion. The results also corroborated the idea that a crucial factor influencing the success of the ERP deployment was the degree to which the project's goals, ambitions, expectations, and other elements were defined and communicated to the campus community. Regarding Research Question 2, its central thesis focused on the relationship between the transformation brought about by BPA or re-engineering activities and the execution of the results and the overall effectiveness of the ERP implementation project. The data showed from the respondent's perception that the values and benefits of participating in an ERP implementation project were defined and communicated to the campus community in addition to the implementation teams. These benefits included improved student service, a central data repository, a system that was compatible across functional lines, the definition and shaping of processes, improved communications, a sense of accomplishment, new functional uses of the system, and teamwork to complete the project. The statistics supported the literature study that these processes should not be taken lightly and suggested there was merit in carrying out the BPA and reengineering processes. The results, however, do not show a clear link between addressing change-related concerns and the project's overall success. Research Question 3's underlying premise was that there was a significant relationship between the expenditure of human and financial resources on an ERP implementation project and the project's success. According to the data, respondents thought there was a lot of value in devoting both financial and human resources to ERP implementation projects that improved functionality and efficiencies, increased communications, increased productivity, integrated systems, replaced outdated systems, and provided services to staff and students. Interestingly, the results revealed that respondents believed the human resources component should have received more attention. The respondents believed that the ERP implementation projects had been effective, as was previously reported. The information revealed that the ERP installation project's commitment of both financial and human resources is crucial and has a big impact on its overall performance.

REFERENCES

- Al-Mashari, M. (2003). A process change-oriented model for ERP application. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 16(1), 39-55.
- Arif, M., Kulonda, D., Proctor, M., & Williams, K. (2004). Before you invest: An illustrated framework to compare conceptual designs for an enterprise information system. *Information Knowledge Systems Management*, 4(2), 119-135.
- Bogdan, R.C. & Biklen, S. K., (1998). *Qualitative research for education*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Bolman, L. G. & Deal, T. E. (1997). *Reframing organizations: Artistry, choice, and leadership*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Debreceeny, R. S., Gray, G. L., Jun-Jin Ng, J. Siow-Ping Lee, K., & Yau, W. (2005).
- Embedded audit modules in enterprise resource planning systems: Implementation and functionality. *Journal of Information Systems*, 19(2), 7-27.
- Dong-Gil Ko, Kirsch, L. J., & King, W. R. (2005). Antecedents of knowledge transfer from consultants to clients in enterprise system implementations. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(1), 59-85.
- Esteves J., & Pastor J. (2004, March). Towards a stakeholder analysis of an ERP adoption in a higher education institution. 1st international workshop on information, knowledge and management: Re-assessing the value of ICT in public and private organizations, Bologna (Italy). Retrieved March 14, 2007, from http://www.lsi.upc.es/~jesteves/Esteves_bologna_2004.pdf
- Fowler, F. (2004). *Policy studies for educational leaders: An introduction*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education. 163
- Fowler, A., & Gilfillan, M. (2003). A framework for stakeholder integration in higher education information systems projects. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 15(4), 457-489.
- Frantz, P. S., Southerland, A. R., & Johnson, J. T. (2002). ERP software implementation best practices. *Educause Quarterly*, 25(4), 38-45.
- Fui-Hoon Nah, F. (2003). Introduction: Enterprise resource planning (ERP). *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 16(1), 1-3.
- Fui-Hoon Nah, F., Zuckweiler, K. M., & Lee-Shang Lau, J. (2003). ERP implementation, Chief information officers' perceptions of critical success factors. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 16(1), 5-22.
- Gattiker, T. F., & Goodhue, D. L. (2005). What happens after ERP implementation: Understanding the impact of inter-dependence and differentiation on plant-level outcomes. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(3), 559-585.
- Haines, M. (2003). Implementation partner involvement and knowledge transfer in the context of ERP implementations. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 16(1), 23-38.
- Holsapple, C. W., & Sena, M. A. (2003). The decision-support characteristics of ERP systems. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 16(1), 101-123.
- Katzenbach, J. R., & Smith, D. K. (1993). The discipline of teams [Electronic version]. *Harvard Business Review*, 71(2), 111-121.
- Koch, C. (March 7, 2002). Enterprise resource planning research center: The pros and cons of automating the company's functional areas. CIO. Retrieved on February 26, 2006 from <http://www.cio.com/research/erp/edit/erpbasics.html>