



---

# A Study on Effective Management Techniques in Various Business Sectors in India

<sup>1</sup>Rahul Sharma, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Rajinder Singh

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, School of Commerce and Management studies, OPJS University, Rajasthan.

<sup>2</sup>Professor, School of Commerce and Management studies, OPJS University, Rajasthan.

---

## Abstract

Management practices are the working strategies and innovations that managers use to improve the effectiveness of work systems. Employee empowerment, education, quality improvement programs, and the use of various sorts of modern technologies are all popular management techniques. The primary goal of this research is to examine performance management system (PMS) in various industries.

**Keywords:** Management practices, performance management system (PMS), work systems, employee empowerment.

---

## 1. Introduction

A management technique is a recognized method of analyzing or solving a recognized type of management problem in a detailed, systematic way'. A management problem is any situation in which a manager has to take a management decision. The performance management process in a company starts when an employee joins and concludes when he quits. Performance management is described as an organized method for improving overall organizational performance by fine-tuning personnel performance. It is a method for conveying expectations, defining responsibilities, and creating benchmarks in order to encourage and sustain a higher level of performance.

The Indian business environment has seen extraordinary change, since the onset of economic reforms in the early-1990 s. While the '90s was a decade of transition, the 'market oriented-globally integrated' economic framework has now stabilised. Macro-level shift is unlikely in the foreseeable future, and the framework will guide the business environment in coming years, though under a variety of domestic and global forces and trends. Learning from the American context, where strategic management.

---

## 2. Review of Literature

The paper deals with the identification and analysis of some of the management techniques in the field of personnel, production, accounting and finance, as adopted by six selected units in and around Chandigarh. In the context of tradition and modernity, it is perhaps difficult to say that the ultimate objectives are sound and modern, but the implementation is on the traditional basis. There can be no piecemeal approach, for the whole process is either traditional or modern.

**Aditya, Gautam, and Jain (2017)** Business organizations have understood the rising need of implementing strategic HR practices to acquire a competitive advantage over their competitors in today's age of fierce rivalry brought on by liberalization and globalization. In order to achieve the ultimate corporate objectives and plans, an effective performance management system plays a critical role in changing the behavior of employees in a business.

**Strugar-Jelaca (2016)** The goal of this study is to discover the links between management practices and company innovation on one side, and the business environment and firm innovation on the other. The research topic is novel management practices, which are investigated via two sides of the management coin, such as innovative management functions and roles, determinants of a dynamic business.

**Henrik Eriksson (2016)** the goal of this study is to describe the variations in the outcomes of quality management (QM) methods across public and private organizations, manufacturing and service organizations, and SMEs and large corporations. Design/methodology/approach between 1992 and 2010, this research looked at the ratings for several criteria (or practices) from quality award candidates in Sweden.

**Connie Atristain-Suárez (2016)** Best Management Practices in Mexico: Managing SMEs' Organizational Performance Using Internal Controls Connie Suárez, Atristain Abstract The purpose of this article is to examine the best management strategies that can help small and medium businesses in Mexico accomplish their goals.

**Richard Pettinger (2016)** It was evident to everyone engaged that this topic had received little attention and that it had created a significant vacuum in the development of business and management education, knowledge, and practice. The project originated from a series of dialogues between UCL and ADE workers about how to create a better understanding of what leadership and management should be like, as well as what organizations should produce for all of their stakeholders.

**Jain, Sameeksha, and Gautam Jain (2016)** to maintain their survival in today's competitive environment, businesses have grown more aware of their employees' performance and productivity. In terms of organization and operations, public and private sector businesses differ from one another. The purpose of this study is to compare the performance management practices of public and private sector manufacturing companies.

**Hendrik Wolmarans (2015)** Despite the fact that the success of small, medium, and micro companies (SMEs) is critical to the South African economy, their failure rate is among the highest in the world, with some experts estimating it to be as high as 90%. According to research, one of the most important reasons leading to SME failure is a lack of financial management skills and the use of financial management procedures.

**Henri Hussinki and Kianto Kianto (2015)** Object – According to recent empirical studies, knowledge-based challenges are intimately tied to a company's innovation performance. The majority of study, on the other hand, appears to be focused on either static knowledge assets or knowledge processes like knowledge generation.

**Kot, Sebastian & Dragon (2015)** Companies that want to stay in the market must in a dynamically way change their exposure to risk. The article presents analysis of business risk management models in international energy companies. Also, authors' professional experience in this industry was used.

**Mukaila, Aremu (2015)** It is concerned with the activities of individuals who are working together to achieve a shared economic aim. Without business, modern civilization would not exist. This is because business raises people's living standards by offering higher-quality, more diverse goods and services at the appropriate time and in the right place. Furthermore, business gives opportunity for people to work and make a living.

### 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 RESEARCH DESIGN

The research design of the study is exploratory as well as descriptive.

##### Objectives of the study

1. To investigate the differences between public and private sector performance monitoring.
2. To determine the differences in performance management system implementation in public and private sector businesses.
3. To compare and contrast the post-implementation behaviors of public and private sector businesses.

#### HYPOTHESES

Based on the nature of this research, the following null hypotheses have been developed:

H01: There is no significant difference between the Performance Review of public and private sector enterprises

H02: There is no significant difference between the Implementation of performance management system among public and private sector enterprises.

#### 3.2 SAMPLING

The present study was conducted in two districts from each state: Uttarakhand Dehradun and Haridwar, and Uttar Pradesh's Noida and Meerut. Because of their closeness and availability of industries, the aforementioned districts were selected for data collection. A sample of 400 responses was analyzed, with fifty responses from each unit utilized as a research sample to maintain homogeneity.

### 4. Data Analysis

The data collected for the study is analyzed as under.

H01: There is no significant difference between the Performance Review of public and private sector enterprises

Table-1. Performance Review of public and private sector enterprises

		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
H01	Between Groups	1066.023	1	1066.023	23.729	.000
	Within Groups	17879.86	398	44.924		
	Total	18945.88	399			

The results of the examination of variance between public and private sector performance reviews are shown in Table 1. For performance evaluation, the sum of squares between groups (SSM) is 1066.023 with 1 degree of freedom (dfm) and the sum of squares within groups (SST) is 17879.86 with 398 degrees of freedom (dfm) (dfr). As

a result, the mean squares (MSM) between the groups is  $1066.023/1 = 1066.023$ , and the mean squares (MSR) within the groups is  $17879.86/398 = 44.924$ . The resultant F ratio (MSM/MSR) for performance evaluation is  $1066.023/44.924 = 23.729$ , which is highly significant at the 5% significance level with  $p=0.000$ . As a result of the  $p<0.05$  value, it may be stated that there is a considerable difference between public and private sector enterprise performance evaluations. As a result, null hypothesis three is ruled out.

H02: There is no significant difference between the Implementation of PMS system among public and private sector enterprises.

Table-2. Implementation of PMS system among public and private sector enterprises.

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
H02	Between Groups	186.323	1	186.323	8.925	0.003
	Within Groups	8308.575	398	20.876		
	Total	8494.898	399			

The findings of the analysis of variance for comparing the implementation of performance management systems in public and private sector firms are presented in Table 2. For example, the estimated F ratio is  $F(1, 398) = 8.925$  with  $p = 0.003$ , which is highly significant because the value is less than 0.05, implying that there is a significant difference in the implementation of performance management systems at public and private sector enterprises, or that null hypothesis second is rejected.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The majority of workers, according to the present poll, are aware of the company's performance management system, whether public or private. Employees have a strong awareness of the numerous components of performance management in the business, with the exception of those aspects of performance management that are kept secret. Employees feel that both their superiors and themselves should evaluate them. Employees at the company are unsatisfied with the Performance Management System's lack of transparency. Employees often believe that the ratings they get should be shared with them.

A dynamic performance management system must be implemented at all levels of all businesses. Individual performance must be in line with company objectives, which may be achieved by using the right set of performance indicators. Performance assessment is only useful if it is implemented. Performance management tools and techniques do not, by themselves, produce consistent high performance; rather, they necessitate the creation of an environment conducive to effective and efficient performance, as well as a reward and punishment system, and the development of capacity to achieve results.

Both the public and private sectors adopt a comprehensive approach to performance management, but the focus on motivation is different. The private sector puts a higher focus on financial challenges, with the main purpose of satisfying shareholder organizations and creating profit for the corporation. The public sector's performance management system, on the other hand, is designed to prioritize fulfilling social objectives.

Private-sector companies use their performance management system largely for control, but public-sector companies use it to foster employee responsibility rather than just regulate them.

The public sector can learn how to establish a performance management system that has a positive impact on employee performance from the private sector in this research. The private sector may educate the public sector how to link incentives and recognition to achievement, as well as how to communicate with many stakeholders by providing accurate performance statistics and achieving multiple goals.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Abu Mansor N.N., Chakraborty, A.R., Yin, T.K., & Mahitapoglu, Z. 2011. Determinants of Performance Management System in South East Asia. *Inter Disciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 3(2).
2. Adhikari, D. R. (2010). Human resource development (HRD) for performance management. *The case of Nepalese organizations. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 59(4), 306-324.
3. Aithal, Sreeramana. (2017). Industry Analysis – The First Step in Business Management Scholarly Research. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE)*. 2. 1-13.10.5281/zenodo.810347.
4. Bakator, Mihalj & Petrović, Nikola & Boric, Sladjana & Đalić, Nataša. (2019). Impact of human resource management on business performance: A review of literature. *Journal of Engineering Management and Competitiveness*. 9.3-13.
5. Battistoni, Elisa & Bonacelli, Andrea & Fronzetti Colladon, Andrea & Schiraldi, Massimiliano. (2013). An Analysis of the Effect of Operations Management Practices on Performance. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*. 10.5772/56919.

6. Coleman, T. (2009). Recommendations for implementing performance management systems in organization, University of Wollongong.
7. Dewettinck, Koen., & Van Dijk, H. (2013). Linking Belgian employee performance management system characteristics with performance management system effectiveness: exploring the mediating role of fairness. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*. 24(4), 806-825.
8. Verbeeten, Frank (2008). Performance Management Practices in Public Sector Organizations: Impact on Performance. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*.21.427-454.10.1108/09513570810863996.
9. Sokolic, Danijela & KastelanMrak, Marija & Vretenar, Nenad. (2017). BUSINESS MANAGEMENT TOOLS AND FIRM PERFORMANCE.10.5593/sgem social 2017/15/S05.018.
10. Pertuz, Vanessa & Perez, Adith. (2020). Innovation management practices: review and guidance for future research in SMEs. *Management Review Quarterly*. 71.10.1007/s11301-020-00183-9.
11. Ngwangwama, Matthias & Ungerer, Marius & Morrison, John. (2019). Management Practices and Activities Influencing the Effectiveness of Organizations in Namibia. *Southern African Business Review*. 23. 10.25159/1998-8125/5566.
12. Ochurub, M., Bussin, M., & Goosen, X. (2012). Organizational readiness for introducing a performance management system. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*,10(1),1-11.
13. [https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/management-approaches-in-indian-companies/articleshow/1864690.cms?utm\\_source=contentofinterest&utm\\_medium=text&utm\\_campaign=cppst](https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/management-approaches-in-indian-companies/articleshow/1864690.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst)accessed on 2-11-2022.