International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Web 2.0 and Its Implications for Library

Jyoti

Head Librarian, Central Library,Monad University, Hapur

ABSTRACT

This article presents a "Library 2.0". It proposes that new reasoning depicting the distinction between Web 1.0 locales and Web 2.0 destinations, and a short history of Web 2.0and changing Web as "Web 2.0" will have significant ramifications for libraries, and perceives that while these ramifications hold exceptionally near the mission of libraries, they actually require another worldview for librarianship. The paper applies the hypothesis and definition to the act of librarianship. Today, things have changed and the web is changing too. On the off chance that you're not capitalizing on Web 2.0, you before long will be! The following are a couple of tips to kick you off.

Keywords: Web 2.0, Library 2.0, Difficulties in Library 2.0 & Web 2.0, Teaching with Web 2.0

Introduction

Many individuals have heard the expression "Web 2.0" tossed around throughout recent years without information on what it means or how it contrasts from Web 1.0. Albeit the term recommends another rendition of the Internet, it doesn't allude to an update to any specialized details, yet to changes in the ways programming designers and end-clients use sites. Basically, Web 2.0 is an imaginative, intuitive way at making and regulating sites. The term Web 2.0 first begun in when it was utilized at the principal O'Reilly Media gathering in 2004. Web 2.0 is basically a participatory web insight, though Web 1.0 was a perused just encounter.

What is Web 2.0?

Web 2.0 is any World Wide Web innovation or site that permits intuitive substance. One model is a blog, which allows the essayist to present critique and guests on leave reactions. Another comparable model is a wiki since it permits the constant refreshing and update of posted content. Intuitive internet games and virtual universes are Web 2.0, as are video posting and long range informal communication locales. Indeed, even RSS (Really Simple Syndication) channels, podcasting and videoconferencing fall into the Web 2.0 classification.

This is becoming well known in schools for quite a long time. In the first place, is its capacity to join innovation with coordinated effort? Then, it is utilizing innovation with which numerous understudies are as of now recognizable through their own investigations of the web and well known locales like MySpace, Wikipedia and Flikr. Additionally, it furnishes a road to speak with experts, subject specialists and different understudies who have Internet access.

Intelligence

The principle qualifying variable of Web 2.0 is that it permits you to associate on a website - destinations like Wikipedia that permit the client to add information, or locales like Face book that permits clients to communicate with one another. There are likewise quite a large number "2.0" news sites that offer moveable gadgets that clients can change to alter their news as they would prefer.

The primary contrast between the two different Web adaptations.

- So what's the contrast between Web 1.0 and Web 2.0?
- Web 1.0 was tied in with perusing, Web 2.0 is tied in with composing
- Web 1.0 was about organizations, Web 2.0 is about networks

- Web 1.0 was about client-server, Web 2.0 is about shared
- Web 1.0 was about HTML, Web 2.0 is about XML
- Web 1.0 was about home pages, Web 2.0 is about web journals
- Web 1.0 was about addresses, Web 2.0 is about discussion
- Web 1.0 was tied in with promoting, Web 2.0 is about informal exchange

Library 2.0

Library 2.0 is a change inside the library world wherein projects and administrations are conveyed to clients in new and imaginative techniques. Not exclusively are the standards of Library 2.0 completely client focused, yet in addition in the joint effort with clients on the making of content and local area, they additionally empower utilizing very good quality advancements, especially online web administrations like the utilization of sites, content administration frameworks and inventories that take into consideration the progression of data from the client back to the library. Many have contend that Library 2.0 applies the retail book shop model of client driven showcasing and tasks with existing library standards.

Beginnings of Library 2.0

"Library 2.0" is a generally new term instituted by open curator Michael Casey in 2005 in which he consolidated the terms Business 2.0 and Web 2.0 along with library ideas. Library 2.0 went on with its advancement at the Internet Librarian Conference in October, 2005, when library trailblazer Michael Stephens introduced the standards of Library 2.0. In any case, it was only after September 2006 with the distribution of the article "Library 2.0: Service for the cutting edge library" in the exchange diary, Library Journal, that Library 2.0 genuinely influenced the libraries.

A hypothesis for Library 2.0 could be perceived to have these four fundamental components:

- It is client focused. Clients partake in the making of the substance and administrations they view inside the library's web-presence, OPAC, and so on. The utilization and formation of content is dynamic, and consequently the jobs of curator and client are not clear 100% of the time.
- It gives a multi-media experience . Both the assortments and administrations of Library 2.0 contain video and sound parts. While this isn't many times refered to as a component of Library 2.0, it is here proposed that it ought to be.
- It is socially rich . The library's web-presence incorporates clients' existences. There are both simultaneous (for example IM) and offbeat (for example wikis) ways for clients to speak with each other and with curators.
- It is mutually creative. This is maybe the absolute most significant part of Library 2.0. It lays on the underpinning of libraries as a local area administration, however comprehends that as networks change, libraries should not just change with them, they should permit clients to change the library. It tries to constantly change its administrations, to track down better approaches to permit networks, not only people to look for, find, and use data.

While these calculated principles of Library 2.0 may be fairly trustworthy, imagining the innovative particulars of the up and coming age of electronic library administrations is on the double both loaded with inescapable mistake and totally vital. The subtleties of how the applications so normal to Web 2.0 will proceed to advance, and how libraries could use and use them for their benefactors, are innately covered up - they are completely about development. Yet, the reasonable supporting of a library's web-presence and how it should advance into a multi-media presence that permits clients to be available too, both with the library or administrator and with each other, are plainly needing improvement. The accompanying anticipations are, then, at that point, more speculative than prescient. They are intended to theoretically investigate and give setting to the connection between the developing Web and the advancing library, as illustrated above, as a way to work with advancement and trial and error in library electronic administrations, and this rundown is in no way, shape or form exhaustive.

Difficulties with Library 2.0 and Web 2.0

The essential thought of Library 2.0, to change library administrations by making them more customized, more intuitive, and more online along Web 2.0 lines, has a rationale to it that is ineluctable and invigorating. I'm firmly for the Library 2.0 thought, however need to raise my thought process is a significant note of watchfulness and thought as we push ahead with explores different avenues regarding library benefits that are displayed on Web 2.0 standards. The trouble that I think we need to wrestle with in considering the Library 2.0 thought is that libraries and Web 2.0 administrations depend on serving two altogether different fundamental exercises, and those exercises have a contrary relationship to protection.

Primary Principles of Library 2.0

Albeit an incredible arrangement has been expounded on and estimated about Library 2.0, a large part of the discourse rotates around five fundamental standards:

- Libraries should embrace their networks and change alongside them
- Client focused content and administrations expands the library's internet based web-presence
- The jobs of administrator and client are not clear and always changing all of the time
- A multi-media experience in which assortments and administrations comprise of both video and sound parts
- Socially wealthy in which the library's web-presence empowers two-way correspondence among clients and libraries

Library 2.0 and Web 2.0

Library 2.0 at last depends on the capable utilization of rising advances to serve library benefactors. Web 2.0 devices are in many cases the key pieces which Library 2.0 defenders use in their day to day work.

- Texting
- Video sharing
- Podcasting
- Photograph sharing
- Online journals and wikis
- Informal communities
- Folksonomies and social labeling
- RSS channels
- web mashups

While Library 2.0 is as yet an advancing idea, it regardless is a fundamental advancement that empowers the two clients and libraries to reposition themselves and their thoughts regarding how a library capacities. It at last assists libraries with developing and create as a critical social establishment for society.

Instructing with Web 2.0

The idea of Web 2.0 developed from the cinders of the dot.com bust in 2001. As it transformed from a popular expression to a functioning idea, Web 2.0 turned into a significant area of the planet Wide Web. In view of its two-way correspondence capacities, it is likewise turning into an inexorably well known method for incorporating innovation into the study hall.

Instances of Classroom Use

Composing can be changed from a one-on-one correspondence among instructor and understudy. With the utilization of a library-focused blog understudies can likewise get guidance and rectifications from their companions. Wiki programming can empower understudies to add their part of exploration to a report being made by a gathering or class, while additionally allowing live altering of the record. The two websites and wikis advance friend altering. This gets clients more engaged with the creative cycle, hence reinforcing composing, altering and relational abilities.

Videoconferencing carries the world into the study hall. Visitor speakers can partake from anyplace there is an Internet association. Understudies can ask researchers inquiries while an examination is being directed in a lab the understudies wouldn't in any case be allowed to enter. Understudies from classes in various nations can pose each other inquiries about their general public and culture. Small kids in distant networks might really go on a virtual field outing to a nature park.

RSS, now and again likewise called Web channels, are becoming well known with organization and secondary teachers. Overseers can have guardians and gatekeepers pursue refreshes about school occasions and news. Secondary school understudies, who ordinarily have email records of their own, can

pursue a feeds from educators, permitting instructors to send schoolwork tasks and homeroom updates without any problem.

Digital recordings are acquiring prevalence for comparable reasons as RSS, the simplicity of mass correspondence. Directors and educators can refresh guardians and understudies with a pre-recorded sound or video message. Educators could actually utilize digital broadcasts to record homeroom illustrations for missing understudies, or make a chronicle for understudies to audit before tests.

Conclusion

Not in the least does Library 2.0 energize client interest and criticism in the turn of events and upkeep of library administrations, Library 2.0 should be constantly assessed and refreshed to meet the changing requirements of library clients. The dynamic and engaged library client is a critical part of Library 2.0. With data and thoughts streaming in the two bearings - from the library to the client and from the client to the library - library administrations can develop and enhance a consistent and quick premise.

The client is member, co-maker, manufacturer and advisor - whether the item is virtual or physical. Library 2.0 a large number of the components of Web 2.0 include appropriate worth inside the library local area, both in innovation driven administrations and in non-innovation based administrations. Specifically, he portrayed the requirement for libraries to take on a technique for consistent change while advancing a participatory job for library clients.

Library 2.0 isn't tied in with looking, however finding; not about access, but rather sharing. Library 2.0 perceives that people don't look for and use data as people, yet as networks. A few instances of the move from Library 1.0 to Library 2.0 include:

- Email reference/Q&A pages - - - > Chat reference
- Text-based instructional exercises - - - > Streaming media instructional exercises with intelligent data sets
- Email mailing records, website admins - - - > Blogs, wikis, RSS channels
- Controlled arrangement plans - - - > Tagging combined with controlled plans
- OPAC - - - > Personalized informal organization interface
- Inventory of generally solid print and electronic possessions - - - > Catalog of dependable and suspect property, website pages, online journals, wikis, and so forth.

It is, at last, likewise important to consider that the Web will keep on changing quickly for quite a while. Web 2.0 is a mid one of many. Libraries should adjust to it, much as they did the Web initially, and should consistently adjust for years to come.

REFERENCES

- Casey, M. & Stephens, M. (2005). Where Do We Begin? A Library 2.0 Conversation with Michael Casey, ALA TechSource Blog, December 2005.

- Crawford, Walt. (2006). "Library 2.0 and 'Library 2.0'" *Cites and Insights*, 6, 2. Accessed June 10, 2006, from http://cites.boisestate.edu/civ6i2.pdf

- Del.icio.us. Accessed May 18, 2006, from http://del.icio.us/

- Miller, P. (2005a). *Do libraries matter?: The rise of library 2.0* (A Talis White Paper), Talis. Retrieved May 18, 2005. Available: http://www.talis.com/downloads/white_papers/DoLibrariesMatter.pdf

- Farkas, Meredith G. (2007). Social Software in Libraries : Building Collaboration, Communication, and Community Online. Information Today, 2007. ISBN 978-1-57387-275-1ISBN 1-57387-275-X

- Furner, J. (2007). User tagging of library resources: Toward a framework for system evaluation. *World Library and Information Congress: 73rd IFLA General Conference and Council*, 19-23 August 2007, Durban, South Africa.

- From library and information services to Web 2.0 Application. *Web 2.0 & Library Seminar, Web 2.0 Workshop*, 2006.

- Stage 2 Studios - 'Sometimes Web 1.0 is Better than Web 2.0' by D. Board (2007)

- Digital Web Magazine - 'Web 2.0 for Designers' by Richard McManus and Joshua Porter (2005)

- Blog - 'All We Got Was Web 1.0, When Tim Berners-Lee Actually Gave Us Web 2.0' by Dion Hinchcliffe 2006.

- Wired - 'Are You Ready for Web 2.0?' by Ryan Singel – 2005.

- WikiBios. Accessed June 2, 2006, from http://www.wikibios.com/

- Wikipedia. Accessed May 18, 2006, from www.wikipedia.com/

- Blog - 'All We Got Was Web 1.0, When Tim Berners-Lee Actually Gave Us Web 2.0' by Dion Hinchcliffe 2006.

- Wired - 'Are You Ready for Web 2.0?' by Ryan Singel – 2005.

- WikiBios. Accessed June 2, 2006, from http://www.wikibios.com/

- Wikipedia. Accessed May 18, 2006, from www.wikipedia.com/