



International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Behavioural Science Influences Better Decision Making of Investor

Vijaya A. Tupe

Research Student, Dept. of Management Science, Dr Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India.

ABSTRACT

This Paper examine the impact of Behavior Science on investor decision. Behavioural science is very much focused on clarifying and quantifying the links between these unobserved latent variables and the observed, manifest variables that represent them, so that the relations among the former can be tested, evaluated, revised, and further tested. So,as same human psychology and nature impact on investment decision of investor's.

Keywords: Behavioral Science. Behavioral Bias, Investor

1 Introduction

Behavioral science any of various disciplines dealing with the subject of human actions.

1. Human psychology

Psychology is essential part in life as well it reveals sphere of behaviour which is centrally need for understanding to human nature.

2. Human nature

Human nature belong social psychology and disciplines which shape up to human being (20).

3. Behavioral science

Behavioral science is very difficult science. It is complex because its focus is the behavior of human beings rather than ascribe of lifeless objects such as prisms, plastics, and planets. Behavioral science is generally not considered to be "hard" science like physics, chemistry, or astronomy.

4. Behavior Science Impact on Behavior Bias of Investor's

4.1 Bias for action

Bias for action also known as action bias is a type of cognitive bias it helps beneficiary for personal and professional growth. It point out the tendency of favoring action over inaction. Many factors can trigger this bias. Overconfidence and a desire for control are both produce of the action bias.

4.2 Affect heuristic

"affect" means the specific quality of "goodness" or "badness". Affect-based bias calculate are quick, automatic, and rooted in experiential thought that is activated prior to reflective assessment. This bias judgments when people do not have the resources or time to reflect.

4.3 Altruism

When people make sacrifices to benefit others without expecting a personal reward or to maximize their own wealth they are thought to behave altruistically. Common applications of this pro-social behavior include volunteering, philanthropy, and helping others in emergencies.

4.4 Ambiguity aversion

Ambiguity occurs in virtually all real-life situations. It plays a major role in many problems policymakers and public Authorities may face and thus directly affects their decision-making processes.

4.5 Behavioral economics

The field of behavioral economics studies and describes economic decision-making. According to its theories, Human behaviour present nature is less rational, well balanced and greedy than traditional normative theory recommend due to bounded rationality, restricted self-control and social priorities.

4.6 Economic bubbles

When prices are higher than real value. The hypothetical bubbles are filled by spread investor enthusiasm and stories that intimate price increases. Many investor in mind real value of investment are overpowered by strong emotions, such envy and excitement.

4.7 Certainty effects

* *Corresponding author.*

E-mail address: vijayatupe21@gmail.com

This bias change the possibilities of gains and losses do not affect investor's emotional evaluations in development stage.

4.8 Choice architecture

This bias completely choice by particular context in which investor make decisions. Choice architecture includes many other behavioral appliance that affect decisions, such as defaults, framing, or decoy options.

4.9 Choice overload

'Overchoice', exist of choice overload occurs as a result of too many choices being available to investors. Overchoice has been associated with unhappiness decision fatigue, going with the default option, as well as choice deferral—avoiding making a decision altogether, such as not buying a product.

4.10 Chunking

When the same information is presented in a different ways that is simple to process, our ability to pick up and store it is greater. People often reorganize, regroup or compress that information to support in its understanding or recall. After that process subgroups are 'chunks'.

4.11 Commitment

Commitments are used as a tool to negative people's lack of willpower and to achieve behavior change, such as in the areas of saving, from the perspective of social psychology, individuals are motivated to maintain a consistent and positive self-image, and they are likely to keep commitments to avoid reputational damage or cognitive dissonance.

4.12 Decision fatigue

There are psychological aspect to making decisions. Since selection can be difficult and need effort like any other long sessions of decision making can lead to weak choices. Decision fatigue bias is reflected in self-regulation, such as a diminished ability to exercise self-control.

4.13 Decoy effect

Choices often or change mode occur relative than based on absolute preferences. The decoy effect is technically known as an 'asymmetrically dominated choice' and occurs when investor's preference for one option or another changes final as a result of add a third option.

4.14 Default (option or setting)

Default options bias investor never have any plans about investment they go with flow as a pre-set courses of action and setting defaults is an effective nudge when there is uncertainty in decision making. Since defaults do not require any effort by the decision maker, defaults can be a simple but powerful tool when there is inaction.

3.15 Diversification bias

Investors seek more variety when they choose multiple items for future loss simultaneously than when they make choices sequentially, i.e. on an 'in the moment' basis.

4.16 Dual-self model

Dual-self model bias will formalize psychological or neuro scientific describe of the human decision-making process into an economic model of that process, and apply that model to provide a unified explanation for several behavioural anomalies.

4.17 Ego depletion

Ego depletion is a concept from self-control theory in psychology.

4.18 (Hot-cold) Empathy gap

It is difficult for humans to predict how they will behave or decision make in the future. A hot-cold empathy gap comes when people underestimate the influence of deeply states. When investor are in an affectively "cold" state, they fail to fully appreciate how "hot" states will affect their own preferences and behavior. Reverse cycle When in hot states, they underestimate the influence of those states and, as a result, overestimate the stability of their current preferences

4.19 Fairness

The Behavioral science area is fairness refers to our social priority for equitable results. This can display itself as inequity aversion, investor's tendency to dislike unequal payoffs in their own or someone else's favor.

4.20 Fast and frugal

Fast and frugal decision-making refers to the application of ecologically rational heuristics, such as the recognition heuristic, which are rooted in the psychological capacities that we have evolved as human animals.

4.21 Habit

Habit is an automatic and rigid pattern of behavior in specific situations, which is usually acquired through repetition and develops through associative learning, when actions become paired repeatedly with a context or an event.

4.22 Hedonic adaptation

Investors wants to changes in life experiences, a process which is referred to as 'hedonic adaptation' or the 'hedonic treadmill'. Just as the happiness that comes with the ownership of a new gadget or salary raise will wane over time.

4.23 Homo economics

The term homo economics, or 'economic man', denotes a view of humans in the social sciences, particularly economics, as self-interested agents who seek optimal, utility-maximizing outcomes. Behavioral economists and most psychologists, sociologists, and anthropologists are critical of the concept. Investors are not always self-interested, nor are they mainly concerned about maximizing benefits and minimizing costs.

4.24 Information avoidance

Behavioral economics refers to situations in which people or Investor choose not to cover knowledge that is freely available. Active information avoidance includes physical avoidance, inattention, the biased interpretation of information and even some forms of forgetting.

4.25 Licensing effect

This bias Also known as ‘self-licensing’ or ‘moral licensing’, the licensing effect is evident when investor allow themselves to do something bad (e.g. immoral) after doing something good (e.g. moral) first.

4.26 Pain of paying

Some investors don’t like to spend money. Under this experience investor feel pain to pay because investor are loss averse.

4.27 Precommitment

Human or investor need a continuous and consistent self-image. Precommitting to a goal is one of the most frequently apply behavioral devices to achieve positive change.

4.28 Preference

The preferences bias are evident in theoretically and real behavioral choices when people decide between alternatives. Preferences also imply an ordering of different options in terms of expected levels of happiness, gratification, utility, etc.

4.29 Projection bias

In behavioral economics, projection bias refers to investor’s assumption that their tastes or priorities will remain the same long time. Both transient preferences in the short-term and long-term changes in tastes can lead to this bias.

4.30 Social proof

This bias influence exerted by others on investor behavior can be expressed as being either normative or informational.

4.31 Zero price effect

The zero price effect suggests that traditional cost-benefits models cannot account for the psychological effect of getting something for free.

2 Literature Review

Iain P. Embrey(2019)Research study found that the majority of the existing literature seeks to encapsulate the same human conflict between deliberative and impulsive reasoning, but that the approach taken to modelling the conflict between those decision criteria varies substantially.

Muraven et al (1998) studied of ego depletion invoked an energy metaphor and willpower to describe the findings. In the 1990s, when these first studies were done, psychologists had grown unaccustomed to analyzing phenomena in terms of energy, and indeed the prevalence of cognitive information processing models rendered all other approaches seemingly quaint and obsolete.

George Loewenstein (2005)“Cold-to-hot” empathy gaps: When people are not affectively aroused, on the other hand, they have little appreciation for their own feelings and behavior in hot states.

Ernst fehr & klaus m. Schmidt (2012) economic models assume that all people are exclusively pursuing their material self-interest and do not care about “social” goals per se. This may be true for some (maybe many) people, but it is certainly not true for everybody. By now we have substantial evidence suggesting that fairness motives affect the behavior of many people.

Goldstein, D. G., & Gigerenzer, G. (2002)Fast and frugal decision-making refers to the application of ecologically rational heuristics, such as the recognition heuristic, which are rooted in the psychological capacities that we have evolved as human animals.

(Duhigg, 2012) ‘Habit loops’ involve a cue that triggers an action, the actual behavior, and a reward. For example, habitual drinkers may come home after work (the cue), drink a beer (the behavior), and feel relaxed (the reward).

Mochon (2008)It has been suggested that the repetition of smaller positive experiences (‘hedonic boosts’), such as exercise or religious practices, has a more lasting effect on our well-being than major life events.

Akerlof & Kranton (2005) economic (or other extrinsic) incentives are ineffective in organizations, identity may be the answer: A worker’s self-image as jobholder and her ideal as to how her job should be done, can be a major incentive in itself.

Lichtenstein (2010) Organizational identification was found to be directly related to employee performance and even indirectly related with customer evaluations and store performance in a study on 306 retail stores.

Grant (2014) when employees were encouraged to create their own job titles such that they better reflected the unique value they bring to the job, identification increased, and emotional exhaustion was reduced.

Sullivan(2004) information avoidance is sometimes strategic, it can have immediate hedonic benefits for people if it prevents the negative (usually psychological) consequences of knowing the information. It usually carries negative utility in the long term, because it deprives people of potentially useful information for decision making and feedback for future behavior. Furthermore, information avoidance can contribute to a polarization of political opinions and media bias.

Blanken (2015)The effect of licensing has been studied for different behavioral outcomes, including donations, cooperation, racial discrimination, and cheating.

Wansink (2009) mindless eating Cues may include serving containers, packaging, people, labels and atmospheric factors. They suggest to the consumer what and how much is normal, appropriate, typical or reasonable to consume. Perceptual biases contribute to a distorted sense of consumption. For example, people underestimate calories in larger servings and tend to serve themselves more when using larger utensils, plates or bowls.

Prelec & Loewenstein, (1998). The pain of paying plays an important role in consumer self-regulation to keep spending in check This pain is thought to be reduced in credit card purchases, because plastic is less tangible than cash, the depletion of resources (money) is less visible and payment is deferred. Different types of people experience different levels of pain of paying, which can affect spending decisions.

Thaler & Benartzi, (2004) The 'Save More Tomorrow' program aimed at helping employees save more money, illustrates precommitment alongside other ideas from behavioral economics. The program gives employees the option of precommitting to a gradual increase in their savings rate in the future, each time they get a raise.

Loewenstein (2003) research observed that the positive impact of a career promotion due to an under-appreciation of adaptation, put above-optimal variety in their planning for future consumption or underestimate the future selling price of an item by not taking into account the endowment effect. Consumers' under-appreciation of habit formation may lead to projection bias in planning for the future, such as retirement savings.

Cialdini (1999). Social proof is an informational influence and can lead to herd behavior. It is also sometimes referred to as a heuristic. Research suggests that receiving information about how others behave (social proof) leads to greater compliance among people from collectivist cultures, whereas information on the individual's past behavior is associated with greater compliance for people from individualist cultures.

Evans & Krueger (2009) The nature of trusting behavior is a multi-faceted part of psychology, investigated in terms of underlying dispositions, intergroup processes, and cognitive expectations

Fehr (2010) Behavioral and biological evidence indicates that trusting is not simply a special case of risk-taking, but based rather on important forms of social preferences, such as betrayal aversion.

Stigler, G. J. (1950) Behavioral economists have questioned past assumptions that utility is always maximized, and they have worked with both traditional and new utility measures.

Shampanier, K., Mazar, N., & Ariely D. (2007) The zero price model, on the other hand, suggests that there will be an increase in a good's intrinsic value when the price is reduced to zero.

CONCLUSION

This study is attempt to determine the role of behavior science study's impact on investor biases. This study expressed that human psychology and nature interrelated about person's create, develop emotions for better decision making.

REFERENCES

- Bar-Eli, M., Azar, O.H., Ritov, I., Keidar-Levin, Y., and Schein, G. (2007). "Action bias among elite soccer goalkeepers: The case of penalty kicks." *Journal of Economic Psychology*. 28(5), 606-621. DOI: 10.1016/j.joep.2006.12.001
- Patt, A, and Zeckhauser, R. (2000). "Action Bias and Environmental Decisions". *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*. 21(1), 45-72.
- Paul Slovic *, Melissa L. Finucane, Ellen Peters, Donald G. MacGregor (2006), "The affect heuristic", *European Journal of Operational Research* 177 (2007), 1333-1352.
- Finucane, M. L., Alhakami, A., Slovic, P., & Johnson, S. M. (2000). The affect heuristic in judgments of risks and benefits. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 13, 1-17.
- Slovic, P., Finucane, M. L., Peters, E., & MacGregor, D. G. (2002). The affect heuristic. In T. Gilovich, D. Griffin, & D. Kahneman (Eds.), *Heuristics and biases: The psychology of intuitive judgment* (pp. 397-420). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Simone Schnall¹, Jean Roper², and Daniel M.T. Fessler³, "Elevation Leads to Altruistic Behavior", *aps psychological science*, 21(3) 315-320. DOI: 10.1177/0956797609359882 <http://pss.sagepub.com>.
- Rushton, J. P. (1984). The altruistic personality. In *Development and maintenance of prosocial behavior* (pp. 271-290). Boston, MA: Springer.
- Easley, D., & O'Hara, M. (2009). Ambiguity and nonparticipation: the role of regulation. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 22(5), 1817-1843.
- Jau Lu (2021) "Random ambiguity" *Theoretical Economics*, 539-570.
- Kahneman, Daniel (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*.
- Fisher, G. S. (2014). Advising the behavioral investor: Lessons from the real world. In H. K. Barker & V. Ricciardi (Eds.), *Investor behavior: The psychology of financial planning and investing* (pp. 265-283). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Thaler, Richard (2015). *Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics*.
- Ring, P., Probst, C. C., Neyse, L., Wolff, S., Kaernbach, C., van Eimeren, T., Camerer, C. F., & Schmidt, U. (2018). It's all about gains: Risk preferences in problem gambling. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 147(8), 1241-1255.
- Thaler, R. H., Sunstein, C. R., & Balz, J. P. (2013). Choice architecture. In E. Shafir (Ed.), *The behavioral foundations of public policy* (pp. 428-439). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Chernev, A., Böckenholt, U., & Goodman, J. (2015). Choice overload: A conceptual review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 25(2), 333-358.
- Mathy, F., & Feldman, J. (2012). What's magic about magic numbers? Chunking and data compression in short-term memory. *Cognition*, 122(3), 346-362.
- Vohs, K. D., Baumeister, R. F., Schmeichel, B. J., Twenge, J. M., Nelson, N. M., & Tice, D. M. (2008). Making choices impairs subsequent self-control: A limited-resource account of decision making, self-regulation, and active initiative. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94, 883-898.
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Fudenberg, D., & Levine, D. K. (2006). A dual-self model of impulse control. *American Economic Review*, 96(5), 1449-1476.
- Baumeister, R. F., Sparks, E. A., Stillman, T. F., & Vohs, K. D. (2008). Free will in consumer behavior: Self-control, ego depletion, and choice. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 18(1), 4-13.
- Loewenstein, G. (2005). Hot-cold empathy gaps and medical decision-making. *Health Psychology*, 24(Suppl. 4), S49-S56.
- Fehr, E., & Schmidt, K. M. (1999). A theory of fairness, competition, and cooperation. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114, 817-868.

- Goldstein, D. G., & Gigerenzer, G. (2002). Models of ecological rationality: the recognition heuristic. *Psychological Review*, 109(1), 75-90.
- Dolan, P., Hallsworth, M., Halpern, D., King, D., & Vlaev, I. (2010). *MINDSPACE: Influencing behaviour through public policy*. London, UK: Cabinet Office.
- Mochon, D., Norton, M. I., & Ariely, D. (2008). Getting off the hedonic treadmill, one step at a time: The impact of regular religious practice and exercise on wellbeing. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29, 632-642.
- Mochon, D., Norton, M. I., & Ariely, D. (2008). Getting off the hedonic treadmill, one step at a time: The impact of regular religious practice and exercise on wellbeing. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29, 632-642.
- Akerlof, G., & Kranton, R. (2005). Identity and the economics of organizations. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 19(1), 9-32.
- Grant, A., Berg, J. & Cable, D. (2014). Job titles as identity badges: How self-reflective titles can reduce emotional exhaustion. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(4), 1201-1225.
- Lichtenstein, D., Maxham, J. & Netemeyer, R. (2010). The relationships among manager-, employee-, and customer-company identification: Implications for retail store financial performance. *Journal of Retailing*, 86(1), 85-93.
- Golman, R., Hagmann, D., & Loewenstein, G. (2017). Information avoidance. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 55(1), 96-135.
- Sullivan, P. S., Lansky, A., & Drake, A. (2004). Failure to return for HIV test results among persons at high risk for HIV infection: Results from a multistate interview project. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 35(5), 511-518.
- Blanken, I., van de Ven, N., & Zeelenberg, M. (2015). A meta-analytic review of moral licensing. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 41(4), 540-558.
- Prelec, D., & Loewenstein, G. (1998). The red and the black: Mental accounting of savings and debt. *Marketing Science*, 17(1), 4-28.
- Zellermayer, O. (1996). *The pain of paying*. (Doctoral dissertation). Department of Social and Decision Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA.
- Loewenstein, G. (2005). Projection bias in medical decision making. *Medical Decision Making*, 25(1), 96-105.
- Cialdini, R. B., Wosinska, W., Barrett, D. W., Butner, J., Gornik-Durose, M. (1999). Compliance with a request in two cultures: The differential influence of social proof and commitment/consistency on collectivists and individualists. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 25, 1242-1253.
- Evans, A. & Krueger, J. (2009). The psychology (and economics) of trust. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 3(6), 1003-1017.
- Fehr, E. (2010). On the economics and biology of trust. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 7(2-3), 235-266.
- Stigler, G. J. (1950). The development of utility theory. *Journal of Political Economy*, 58(4), 307-327.
- Shampanier, K., Mazar, N., & Ariely D. (2007). Zero as a special price: The true value of free products. *Marketing Science*, 26, 742-757.
- John R. Nesselroadea and Peter C. M. Molenaar (2016) ,“Some Behavioral Science Measurement Concerns and Proposals”,*Multivariate Behavioral Research*, DOI:10.1080/00273171.2015.1050481
- www.britannica.com/science/behavioral-science
- <https://thedeclarationlab.com/biases/action-bias>